

STATE OF NEVADA STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

BRIAN SANDOVAL

1550 College Parkway, Suite 115 Carson City, Nevada 89706-7921 Telephone (775) 684-2160 Fax (775) 684-2020 CHRISTOPHER G. NIELSEN Secretary

In the I	Matter of)
)
	Imre Fodor)
	APN: 138-20-518-002)
	Clark County, Nevada)
1	PETITIONER)
		Case No. 13-154
1	Michele Shafe)
	Clark County Assessor)
	RESPONDENT	ý
		Ś
	Appeal of the Decision of the)
	CLARK COUNTY	í
	BOARD OF EQUALIZATION	í
	SOME OF EGOMELET (10)	1

NOTICE OF DECISION

Appearances

No one appeared on behalf of Imre Fodor (Taxpayer).

Laurie Schneider and Gary Relyea appeared on behalf of the Clark County Assessor's Office (Assessor).

Summary

The matter of the Taxpayer's petition for review of property valuations for the 2013-14 Secured Roll within Clark County, Nevada, came before the State Board of Equalization (State Board) for hearing in Henderson, Nevada, on June 3, 2013 after due notice to the Taxpayer and the Assessor.

The Taxpayer offered new evidence consisting of additional comparable sales. Based on a tie vote, the evidence was not admitted into the record. See Tr., 6-4-13, p. 103, I. 15 through p. 104, I. 25.

The State Board, having considered all evidence, documents and testimony pertaining to the valuation of the property in accordance with NRS 361.227, hereby makes the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1) The State Board is an administrative body created pursuant to NRS 361.375.
- 2) The State Board is mandated to hear all appeals of property tax assessments pursuant to NRS 361.360 and NRS 361.400.
- 3) The Taxpayer and the Assessor were given adequate, proper and legal notice of the time and place of the hearing before the State Board, and the matter was properly noticed pursuant to the Open Meeting Law at NRS 241.020. The Department provided evidence to show the Taxpayer received notice of the hearing. See Tr., 6-4-13, p. 102, II. 6-8; Record, SBE page 31.
- 4) The subject property is a two-story single family residence containing 3,068 square feet, built in 1993 and located on .18 acres on Rico Peak Court in the South Shores Development at Rampart and Lake Mead, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada. See Record, SBE pages 19 and 21-25; Tr., 6-4-13, p. 102, II. 19-21.
- 5) The Clark County Board of Equalization (County Board) ordered that the total taxable value for the subject property of \$223,322, or \$73 per square foot, be upheld for the 2013-2014 secured roll. See Record, SBE pages 9 and 29; Tr. 6-4-13, p. 102, II. 22-25.
- 6) The State Board found the Taxpayer did not present sufficient evidence to support values different from that established by the County Board and did not overcome the presumption that the Assessor's valuation is correct. The State Board found the Assessor's recommended value was well supported by comparable sales. See Tr., 6-4-13, p. 105, II. 4-7.
- 7) The State Board affirmed the decision of the County Board. See Tr., 6-4-13, p. 105, l. 4 through p. 106, l. 1.
- 8) Any finding of fact above construed to constitute a conclusion of law is adopted as such to the same extent as if originally so denominated.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1) The Taxpayer timely filed a notice of appeal, and the State Board accepted jurisdiction to determine this matter. NRS 361.360.
- 2) The Taxpayer and the Assessor are subject to the jurisdiction of the State Board.
- 3) The Taxpayer failed to appear at the hearing. Pursuant to NAC 361.708, the State Board proceeded with the hearing.
- 4) The State Board has the authority to determine the taxable values in the State. NRS 361.395.
- 5) The Taxpayer has the burden of overcoming the presumption that the Assessor's valuation is correct. *Pittsburg Silver Peak Gold Mining Co. v. Tax Commission*, 49 Nev. 46, 54-55 (1925).
- 6) The assessed value as previously determined by the County Board is 35% of taxable value as required by NRS 361.225.
- 7) Any conclusion of law above construed to constitute a finding of fact is adopted as such to the same extent as if originally so denominated.

DECISION

	The Petition of the Taxpayer is denied based on the above Findings of Fac	t and Conclusions of
Law.	The Clark County Comptroller is instructed to certify the assessment roll of t	the county consistent
with t	this decision.	AND THE WORLD STREET,
	/	

BY THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION THIS ____ DAY OF AUGUST, 2013.

Christopher G. Mielsen, Secretary CGN/ter