

- 3) The Taxpayer and the Assessor were given adequate, proper and legal notice of the time and place of the hearing before the State Board, and the matter was properly noticed pursuant to the Open Meeting Law at NRS 241.020. The Department provided evidence to show the Department provided notice of the hearing. *See Record, SBE page 11; Tr., 7-20-16, p. 310, ll.10-11.*
- 4) The subject property consists of a one-story single family residence containing 2,667 square feet, built in 2006 and located on Bay Colony Street in the Silverstone Ranch Community, a golf course community in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada. *See Record, SBE page 7.*
- 5) Pursuant to NRS 361.360 (1), any taxpayer aggrieved at the action of the county board of equalization in equalizing, or failing to equalize, the value of his property, or property of others, or a county assessor, may file an appeal with the State Board of Equalization on or before March 10 in the current assessment year. The appeal to the State Board was not first heard by the County Board. *See Record, SBE page 2; Tr., 7-20-16, p. 308, ll. 18-21; p. 312, l. 18 through p. 313. 1*
- 6) The State Board found the Taxpayer did not show substantial circumstances beyond the control of the Taxpayer as to why the appeal was not filed first with the Clark County Board of Equalization (County Board). The State Board declined to accept jurisdiction of the case because administrative remedies available to the Taxpayer had not been exhausted prior to appeal to the State Board. The State Board further found the decision to not accept jurisdiction in this case does not affect any decision made in an equalization order. *See Tr., 7-20-16, p. 311, l. 11 through p.314, l.16.*
- 7) Any finding of fact above construed to constitute a conclusion of law is adopted as such to the same extent as if originally so denominated.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1) The State Board has authority to determine whether it has jurisdiction to hear a matter. The State Board has the authority to determine matters necessary to carry out the power conferred on the State Board by statute. *Checker, Inc. et al. v. Public Serv. Comm'n*, 84 Nev. 623, 629-630, 446 P.2d 981 (1968).
- 2) The Taxpayer failed to appear at the hearing. Pursuant to NAC 361.708, the State Board proceeded with the hearing.
- 3) Any conclusion of law above construed to constitute a finding of fact is adopted as such to the same extent as if originally so denominated.

DECISION

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the State Board held it is without jurisdiction to hear the above referenced appeal by the Taxpayer. The Clark County Comptroller is instructed to certify the assessment roll of the county consistent with this decision.

BY THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION THIS 27 DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2016.



Deonne Contine

DC/ter