

STATE OF NEVADA STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

STEVE SISOLAK Governor 1550 College Parkway, Suite 115 Carson City, Nevada 89706-7921 Telephone (775) 684-2160 Fax (775) 684-2020 MELANIE YOUNG Secretary

In the Matter of) Case No. 19-108
APN: 125-27-610-058))
lsidoro Alcazar, PETITIONER)))
v.	, ,
Briana Johnson Clark County Assessor, RESPONDENT	,)))
Appeal from the Decision of the Clark County Board of Equalization)))

NOTICE OF DECISION

Appearances

Isidoro Alcazar appeared on behalf the Petitioner, Isidoro Alcazar (Taxpayer).

Melodie Garfield and Jim Jacobs appeared on behalf of the Respondent, the Clark County Assessor's Office (Assessor).

Summary

The matter of the Taxpayer's petition for review of property valuations for the 2019-2020 Secured Roll within Clark County, Nevada, came before the State Board of Equalization (State Board) for hearing in Henderson, Nevada, on July 29, 2019, after due notice to the Taxpayers and the Assessor. The subject property is a single-family home in Clark County, Nevada.

Taxpayer petitioned the Clark County Board of Equalization (County Board) for review of the taxable valuation of the subject property which was heard by the County Board on or about February 22, 2019. The County Board reduced the taxable value to \$430,000 as recommended by the Assessor.

The State Board, having considered all evidence, documents and testimony pertaining to the taxable value of the subject property in accordance with NRS 361.360, hereby makes the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The State Board is an administrative body created pursuant to NRS 361.375.
- 2. The State Board is mandated to hear all appeals of property tax assessments pursuant to NRS 361.360 and NRS 361.400.

- 3. Taxpayer and Assessor were given adequate, proper and legal notice of the time and place of the hearing before the State Board, and the matter was properly noticed pursuant to the Open Meeting Law at NRS 241.020.
 - 4. The subject property is a 2,000 square foot custom home built in 2015.
 - 5. Taxpayer has the burden of proof according to NAC 361.741.
- 6. Assessor presented the comparable sales analysis documented in the record to support the Assessor's recommended change from \$455,991 to \$430,000.
- 7. Any finding of fact above construed to constitute a conclusion of law is adopted as such to the same extent as if originally so denominated.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Taxpayer and Assessor are subject to the jurisdiction of the State Board.
- 2. The State Board has the authority to determine the taxable values in the State.
- 3. Assessor's recommended change to the taxable value was determined by using the required method in NRS 361.227 and it does not exceed full cash value.
- 4. Taxpayer failed to meet his burden of proof that the County Board's decision was in error.
- 5. Any conclusion of law above construed to constitute a finding of fact is adopted as such to the same extent as if originally so denominated.

DECISION

Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and by a preponderance of the evidence, the State Board decided by unanimous vote to uphold the County Board's decision to uphold Assessor's recommended change to the taxable value from \$455,991 to \$430,000. The Petition is denied.

BY THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION THIS 16th DAY OF Austenber 2019.

Melanie Voung Secretar