



STATE OF NEVADA
STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

BRIAN SANDOVAL
Governor

1550 College Parkway, Suite 115
Carson City, Nevada 89706-7921
Telephone (775) 684-2160
Fax (775) 684-2020

DEONNE CONTINE
Secretary

In the Matter of)	
)	
Joseph Gury)	
APN: 125-10-118-008)	
Clark County, Nevada)	
PETITIONER)	
)	Case No. 16-303
Michele Shafe)	
Clark County Assessor)	
RESPONDENT)	
)	
Appeal of the Decision of the)	
CLARK COUNTY)	
BOARD OF EQUALIZATION)	

NOTICE OF DECISION

Appearances

No one appeared on behalf of Joseph Gury (Taxpayer).
Jeff Payson appeared on behalf of the Clark County Assessor's Office (Assessor).

Summary

The matter of the Taxpayer's petition for review of property valuations for the 2016-17 Secured Roll within Clark County, Nevada, came before the State Board of Equalization (State Board) for hearing in Las Vegas, Nevada, on July 20, 2016 after due notice to the Taxpayer and the Assessor.

Pursuant to NAC 361.7014, the Secretary to the State Board examined the petition of the Taxpayer and found the Taxpayer's appeal was for the tax year 2016-2017. The appeal was sent by fax and dated March 21, 2016. The appeal for the tax year 2016-17 was due on March 10, 2016 and was therefore late. Accordingly, the Secretary recommended to the State Board that the appeal for the year 2016-17 be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction due to untimely filing of the appeal.

The State Board, having considered all evidence, documents and testimony pertaining to the jurisdiction of the State Board, hereby makes the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1) The State Board is an administrative body created pursuant to NRS 361.375.
- 2) The State Board is mandated to hear all appeals of property tax assessments pursuant to NRS 361.360 and NRS 361.400.
- 3) The Taxpayer and the Assessor were given adequate, proper and legal notice of the time and place of the hearing before the State Board, and the matter was properly noticed pursuant to the Open Meeting Law at NRS 241.020. The Department provided evidence to show the Taxpayer received notice of the hearing. *See Tr., 7-20-16, p. 314, l. 25 through p. 315, l. 2; Record, SBE page 63.*
- 4) The subject property consists of a 2,335 square foot, two-story townhome, built in 2006, and located on Sandy Isle Court in the Silverstone Ranch golf community in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada. *See Record, SBE page 15.*
- 5) The Clark County Board of Equalization (County Board) ordered the total taxable value for the subject property of \$242,855 be reduced to \$236,787 for the 2016-2017 secured roll. *See Record, SBE pages 12 and 61.*
- 6) Pursuant to NRS 361.360 (1), any taxpayer aggrieved at the action of the county board of equalization in equalizing, or failing to equalize, the value of his property, or property of others, or a county assessor, may file an appeal with the State Board of Equalization on or before March 10 in the current assessment year. The appeal was sent by fax on March 21, 2016 and was therefore filed after the filing deadline. *See Record, SBE page 2.*
- 7) The State Board found the Taxpayer did not show circumstances beyond the control of the Taxpayer as to why the appeal was filed beyond the deadline for appeal to the State Board, and declined to accept jurisdiction of the case. The State Board further found the decision to not accept jurisdiction in this case does not affect any decision made in an equalization order. *See Tr., 7-20-16, p. 315, l.19 through p. 316, l. 21.*
- 8) Any finding of fact above construed to constitute a conclusion of law is adopted as such to the same extent as if originally so denominated.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1) The State Board has authority to determine whether it has jurisdiction to hear a matter. The State Board has the authority to determine matters necessary to carry out the power conferred on the State Board by statute. *Checker, Inc. et al. v. Public Serv. Comm'n*, 84 Nev. 623, 629-630, 446 P.2d 981 (1968).
- 2) The Taxpayer failed to appear at the hearing. Pursuant to NAC 361.708, the State Board proceeded with the hearing.
- 3) Based on the failure of the Taxpayer to show circumstances beyond the control of the Taxpayer as to why the appeal was not timely filed to the State Board, the State Board declined to accept jurisdiction of the case. *NRS 361.360(1)*.
- 4) Any conclusion of law above construed to constitute a finding of fact is adopted as such to the same extent as if originally so denominated.

DECISION

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the State Board held it is without jurisdiction to hear the above referenced appeal by the Taxpayer. The Clark County Comptroller is instructed to certify the assessment roll of the county consistent with this decision.

BY THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION THIS 27 DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2016.



Deonne Contine, Secretary
DC/ter