

# STATE OF NEVADA

# STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

STEVE SISOLAK Governor

1550 College Parkway, Suite 115 Carson City, Nevada 89706-7921 Telephone (775) 684-2160 Fax (775) 684-2020

In the Matter of Case No. 21-120 APN(s): 162-21-511-256 Platinum Condominium Development, LLC, PETITIONER v. Clark County Assessor, RESPONDENT Appeal from Decision of the Clark County Board of Equalization

# NOTICE OF DECISION

# Appearances

Wayne Tannenbaum appeared on behalf of the Petitioner, Platinum Condominium Development, LLC (Taxpayer).

Stephanie Jones and Mary Ann Weidner appeared on behalf of the Respondent, Clark County Assessor (Assessor).

#### Summary

The matter of the Taxpayer's petition for review of property valuation for real property on the 2021-2022 secured roll came before the State Board of Equalization (State Board) for hearing in Carson City and via Zoom on October 27, 2021. The Clark County Board of Equalization (County Board) heard Taxpayer's property tax appeal on February 23, 2021. The County Board upheld the Assessor's taxable value of \$4,731,746.

The State Board, having considered all evidence, documents and testimony pertaining to the taxable value for the subject property, hereby makes the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision.

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. The State Board is an administrative body created pursuant to NRS 361.375.

2. The State Board is mandated to hear all appeals of property tax assessments pursuant to NRS 361.360 and NRS 361.400.

SHELLIE HUGHES Secretary 3. Taxpayer and Assessor were given adequate, proper and legal notice of the time and place of the hearing before the State Board, and the matter was properly noticed pursuant to the Open Meeting Law at NRS 241.020.

4. Taxpayer has the burden of proof pursuant to NAC 361.741.

5. The subject property consists of the commercial area of the hotel condominium referred to as Platinum Condominium located at 211 East Flamingo Road.

6. Taxpayer presented income analysis, market lease information, and testimony explaining the ownership and use of the commercial components for the subject property.

7. Assessor presented its income approach analysis including a discounted cash flow analysis using the stabilized net operating income for 2019.

8. Any finding of fact above construed to constitute a conclusion of law is adopted as such to the same extent as if originally so denominated.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Taxpayer and Assessor are subject to the jurisdiction of the State Board.
- 2. The State Board has the authority to determine the taxable values in the State.
- 3. Taxpayer failed to meet its burden.
- 4. The taxable value for the subject property does not exceed full cash value.

5. Any conclusion of law above construed to constitute a finding of fact is adopted as such to the same extent as if originally so denominated.

# DECISION

Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and a preponderance of the evidence, the State Board decided by a unanimous vote to uphold the taxable value determined by the Assessor. The Petition is denied.

BY THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION THIS DAY OF 2022. Shellie Hughes, Sec