

STATE OF NEVADA STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

STEVE SISOLAK

Governor

1550 College Parkway, Suite 115 Carson City, Nevada 89706-7921 Telephone (775) 684-2160 Fax (775) 684-2020 SHELLIE HUGHES
Secretary

In the Matter of	Case No. 21-122
APN(s): 163-19-511-038	<u>,</u>
Pacifica Vegas Red Rock, LLC, PETITIONER	,))
v.	, ,
Clark County Assessor, RESPONDENT	,))
Appeal from Decision of the Clark County Board of Equalization	,))

NOTICE OF DECISION

Appearances

Wayne Tannenbaum appeared on behalf of the Petitioner, Pacifica Vegas Red Rock, LLC (Taxpayer).

Jill Wood appeared on behalf of the Respondent, Clark County Assessor (Assessor).

Summary

The matter of the Taxpayer's petition for review of property valuation for real property on the 2021-2022 secured roll came before the State Board of Equalization (State Board) for hearing via Zoom on August 31, 2021. The Clark County Board of Equalization (County Board) heard Taxpayer's property tax appeal on February 8, 2021. The County Board upheld the Assessor's taxable value of \$7,722,151. State Board admitted Taxpayer's new evidence.

The State Board, having considered all evidence, documents and testimony pertaining to the taxable value for the subject property, hereby makes the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The State Board is an administrative body created pursuant to NRS 361.375.
- 2. The State Board is mandated to hear all appeals of property tax assessments pursuant to NRS 361.360 and NRS 361.400.

- 3. Taxpayer and Assessor were given adequate, proper and legal notice of the time and place of the hearing before the State Board, and the matter was properly noticed pursuant to the Open Meeting Law at NRS 241.020.
 - 4. Taxpayer has the burden of proof pursuant to NAC 361.741.
- 5. The subject property is the Hampton Inn and Suites located at 4280 South Grand Canyon Dr. in Las Vegas.
 - Assessor's taxable value is calculated in compliance with NRS 361.227.
- 7. Assessor presented reasonable evidence of the income approach using capitalization of the fair economic income expectancy and analysis of the discounted cash flow to determine market value which did not exceed the Assessor's taxable value.
- 8. Any finding of fact above construed to constitute a conclusion of law is adopted as such to the same extent as if originally so denominated.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Taxpayer and Assessor are subject to the jurisdiction of the State Board.
- The State Board has the authority to determine the taxable values in the State.
- 3. Taxpayer failed to meet its burden to show the County Board's decision was in error.
- 4. The taxable value for the subject property does not exceed full cash value.
- 5. Any conclusion of law above construed to constitute a finding of fact is adopted as such to the same extent as if originally so denominated.

DECISION

Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and a preponderance of the evidence, the State Board decided by a unanimous 3-0 vote to uphold the taxable value determined by the Assessor. The Petition is denied.

BY THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION THIS 👌

DAY OF

_, 2022.

Shellie Hughes, Sedretary