STATE OF NEVADA

DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION

2007-2008

BULLETIN NO. 196



AGRICULTURAL LAND VALUES OPEN - SPACE PROPERTIES PROCEDURES

PREPARED BY THE

DIVISION OF ASSESSMENT STANDARDS

May 8, 2006

Table of Contents

Agricultural Land Values

Authority	Page 2
Classification Definitions	Page 2
Intensive Use Land Cultivated Land Native Meadow Land or Wild Hay Land Pasture Land Grazing Land Farmstead	Page 2 Page 2,3 Page 3 Page 3 Page 3,4 Page 4
Valuation Methodology	Page 4
Procedures	Page 5
Agricultural Land Calculations Section	Page 6
Summary of Agricultural Land Values	Page 7-15
Assessment of Open-Space Real Property	
Procedures	Page 16
Valuation Methodology	Page 16,17
Appendix	Page 18
Nevada Agricultural Statistics	Page 19-21

2007-2008 Agricultural Land Values

Authority

This bulletin is intended to fulfill the requirements of NRS 361A.140 and NRS 361.325 (1)(b).

NRS 361A.140 requires the Nevada Tax Commission to:

(a) Define the classifications of agricultural real property;

(b) Determine the valuations for each classification on the basis provided in NRS 361.325;

(c) Determine the value of land covered by a residence or necessary to support the residence in the same manner as other real property pursuant to NRS 361.227; and

(d) Prepare a bulletin listing all classifications and values thereof for the following assessment year.

NRS 361.325 (1)(b) requires the Nevada Tax Commission to classify land and fix and establish the valuation thereof for assessment purposes. The classification of agricultural land must be made on the basis of crop, timber or forage production, either in tons of crops per acre, board feet or other unit, or animal unit months of forage.

Classification Definitions

Land devoted to agriculture is categorized under the following classifications:

Intensive Use Land

The agricultural pursuits do not depend on the quality of the soil for production. Examples include: poultry ranches, fish farms, rabbit raising operations, cattle feed lots, hydroponic operations, and other agricultural operations whose products do not grow out of the soil but whose operations are carried out entirely on the soil.

Cultivated Land

Land developed for agricultural use and is no longer in its natural condition.

First Class Cultivated Land: Land that produces during an average year 4 or more tons of alfalfa hay or 1 & ¹/₂ tons or more of small grains per acre or the equivalent of other feeds for livestock.

Second Class Cultivated Land: Land that produces during an average year 3 or more but less than 4 tons of alfalfa hay, or 1 ton but less than 1 & ¹/₂ tons of small grains per acre or the equivalent of other feeds for livestock.

Third Class Cultivated Land: Land that produces during an average year 2 to 3 tons of alfalfa hay, or ¹/₂ to 1 ton of small grains per acre or the equivalent of other feeds for livestock.

Fourth Class Cultivated Land: Land that produces during an average year 1 & ¹/₂ to 2 tons of alfalfa hay or ¹/₂ ton of small grains per acre or the equivalent of other feeds for livestock.

Native Meadow Land or Wild Hay Land

Native Meadow Land (Wild Hay Land) is irrigated by streams or rivers and has not been cultivated but will yield enough native Wild Hay growth to afford at least one hay cutting each season with average or better than average precipitation.

First Class Native Meadow or Wild Hay Land: Land that produces during an average year 1 or more tons of hay per acre.

Second Class Native Meadow or Wild Hay Land: Land that produces during an average year ¹/₂ ton or more but less than 1 ton of hay per acre.

Pasture Land

Pasture lands are not "harvested and stored" as with Wild Hay Lands, therefore, the value of Pasture Lands are measured by "Carrying Capacity per acre.

First Class Pasture: During an average year, this land produces enough feed per acre for 4 grown cattle (4 animal units per month).

Second Class Pasture: During an average year, this land produces enough feed per acre for 3 to 4 grown cattle (3.5 animal units per month).

Third Class Pasture: During an average year, this land produces enough feed per acre for 2 to 3 grown cattle (2.5 animal units per month).

Fourth Class Pasture: During an average year, this land produces enough feed per acre for 1 to 2 grown cattle (1.5 animal units per month).

Grazing Land

More than 90% of Nevada grazing land is managed by the Bureau of Land Management. Livestock grazing fees are calculated annually and administered in accordance with 43 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations) 4130.8-1, "Payment of Fees." The fees are net and have remained extremely stable over the past 40 years (\$1.23 in 1966 to \$1.79 in 2005)

Grazing land usually lacks irrigation and has a lower carrying capacity per acre than pasture land. It is commonly identified as "range land", either open or fenced. It also may be land

found within the fenced boundaries of the farm or ranch that does not meet the definition of the previous classifications.

First Class Grazing: During an average year, this land produces enough feed on 4 acres or less for 1 grown cow (1/4 up to 1 animal unit per month).

Second Class Grazing: During an average year, this land produces enough feed on 4 to 6 acres for 1 grown cow (1/4 up to 1/6 animal units per month).

Third Class Grazing: During an average year, this land produces enough feed on 6 to 12 acres for 1 grown cow (1/6 up to 1/12 animal units per month).

Fourth Class Grazing: During an average year, this land produces enough feed on 12 acres or more for 1 grown cow (1/12 or less animal units per month). Such land is barren or rocky.

Farmstead

The value of the land in the farmstead area covered by a residence or necessary to support a residence is computed as taxable value pursuant to NRS 361.227. Any remaining farmstead area of an agricultural property that is part of the operation is valued by applying the same value as the highest land classification used for that operation.

Valuation Methodology

The methods used to determine the value of agricultural land are defined in the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 361A.180 The Nevada Tax Commission adopted revised permanent regulations that became effective on December 4, 2003.

Valuation methodology as defined in NAC 361A.180(2). NAC361A.180(2) calls for the application of a capitalized earnings approach, whereby an estimated income stream is capitalized into an estimate of value. There are two major components to the capitalized earnings approach. First, an income stream is developed from information obtained from an annual survey of agricultural producers throughout the state.

The 2005 survey was sent to 800 farm classified properties, of those returned twelve stated that they were either no longer farming or did not farm during the past year. There were 352 that did provide information, this was about 45% of the total sample. From the survey, information about the price per ton of alfalfa hay and estimated tonnage produced was obtained. The survey also asks for information regarding wild or other hay, watered pasture rental prices per AUM, and grazing rental prices per AUM. A five-year average using the mean is then calculated pursuant to the requirements of NAC 361A.180. The resulting weighted averages are used to develop a normalized estimate of typical gross revenues for alfalfa hay, pasture and grazing lands. The information is then compared to statistics developed by the Nevada Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) to verify reasonableness. The NASS develops average price-per-month for alfalfa hay. The NASS data may be found in the Appendix.

Estimated expenses are then deducted from the normalized gross revenue for each category. Currently, the Department estimates 91.25% of gross income represents expenses for cultivated land; and 70% of gross income represents expenses for native meadow or wild hay land. The estimate was the result of considerable testimony and debate before the Commission several years ago. The rate was set by the Commission so that all but the most marginal farms could continue to exist.

The Department has also carried forward a 10.25% capitalization rate. Capitalization rates typically vary between 8 and 12%, depending on the region and the type of land being valued. However, the Department consults with independent agricultural land fee appraisers and with information from the Agricultural Statistics Service to check for reasonableness of the rate. The suggested capitalization rate is still consistent with current conditions.

Procedures

Procedures for the administration of the assessment of agricultural lands may be found in the NAC, Chapter 361A. For instance, real property owners may apply for an agricultural use assessment on real property qualifying as agricultural land. In order to qualify, the lands must meet the requirements of NRS 361A.020-361A.030, and NAC Chapter 361A. Pursuant to NAC 361A.025, county assessors determine the eligibility of agricultural use applications for properties of 20 acres or more and the Division of Assessment Standards reviews those applications of less than 20 acres. Applications must be on forms approved by the Tax Commission, pursuant to NAC 361A.100.

In order to properly classify agricultural real property according to the descriptions in this Bulletin, assessors should inspect the property and gather information from the property owners and managers, agricultural extension agents, university agronomists, and other agricultural land specialists. The assessor should evaluate soil line and topographical maps, and consider the land's carrying capacity, water availability, soil type and condition pursuant to the requirements of NAC361A.150.

Pursuant to NRS 361A.130, assessors must maintain records of agricultural use assessments and make those records available to any person upon request. They must notify property owners of their agricultural use assessments in the same manner used to notify property owners of their taxable value assessments. The notice must contain the following statement: **Deferred taxes will become due on this parcel if it is converted to a higher use.**

Agricultural Land Calculations Section

Summary of Agricultural Land Values and Comparison of 2003-04 through 2007-08

Land Classification	Adopted 2007-2008	dopted 06-2007	Adopted 005-2006	Adopted 004-2005	Adopted 2003-04	Percent Change 2003-2007	% Change 2006-07 2007-08	% Change 2005-06 2006-07	% Change 2004-05 2005-06	% Change 2003-04 2004-05
Intensive Use Land	\$174.00	\$166	\$ 164.00	\$ 164.00	\$ 161.00	8.1%	4.8%	1.2%	0.0%	1.9%
Cultivated Land First Class Cultivated Second Class Cultivated Third Class Cultivated Fourth Class Cultivated	\$ 135.00 105.00 75.00 53.00	\$ 129.00 100.00 72.00 50.00	\$ 127.00 99.00 71.00 49.00	\$ 127.00 99.00 71.00 49.00	\$ 125.00 97.00 69.00 49.00	8.0% 8.2% 8.7% 8.2%	5.0%	1.6% 1.0% 1.4% 2.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	1.6% 2.1% 2.9% 0.0%
Native Meadow Land or Wild Hay Land First Class Meadow Second Class Meadow	\$ 84.00 63.00	\$ 81.00 60.00	\$ 78.00 59.00	\$ 75.00 56.00	\$ 66.00 49.00	27.3% 28.6%				13.6% 14.3%
Pasture Land First Class Pasture Second Class Pasture Third Class Pasture Fourth Class Pasture	\$ 120.00 92.00 79.00 37.00	\$ 96.00 74.00 63.00 28.00	\$ 88.00 68.00 59.00 25.00	\$ 79.00 61.00 53.00 22.00	\$ 75.00 58.00 51.00 20.00	60.0% 58.6% 54.9% 85.0%	25.0% 24.3% 25.4% 32.1%	9.1% 8.8% 6.8% 12.0%	11.4% 11.5% 11.3% 13.6%	5.3% 5.2% 3.9% 10.0%
Grazing Land First Class Grazing Second Class Grazing Third Class Grazing Fourth Class Grazing	\$ 5.80 3.00 2.10 1.25	\$ 4.30 2.22 1.55 1.25	\$ 4.16 2.15 1.50 1.25	\$ 4.54 2.36 1.61 1.25	\$ 4.03 2.08 1.47 1.25	43.9% 44.2% 42.9% 0.0%	34.9% 35.1% 35.5% 0.0%	3.4% 3.3% 3.3% 0.0%	-8.4% -8.9% -6.8% 0.0%	12.7% 13.5% 9.5% 0.0%

	1st Class Cultivated								
A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н		
Year	Price of Hay Per Ton	Estimated Expenses	Net Operating Income Per Ton (B-(B*C))	Production Per Acre Total Tons	Net Operating Income/Acre (D*E)	5-Year Weight	Weighted Average NOI (F*G)		
2005	109.42	91.25%	9.57	4.50	43.07	0.3333	14.36		
2004	98.58	91.25%	8.63	4.50	38.84	0.2667	10.36		
2003	87.14	91.25%	7.62	4.50	34.29	0.2000	6.86		
2002	99.27	91.25%	8.69	4.50	39.11	0.1333	5.21		
2001	109.26	91.25%	9.56	4.50	43.02	0.0667	2.87		
Income stream = F	ive-year weighted a	average of net oper	ating income				\$ 39.66		
Capitalization Rate	e:					10.25%			
Value / Acre (Incor Level of Assessme	/alue / Acre (Income stream / cap rate) .evel of Assessment: 35.00%								
Assessed Value / A	Assessed Value / Acre (Value per acre times assessment level)								
Rounded Assessed	d Value:						\$ 135.00		

Cultivated Land Value per Acre

			2nd Class	Cultivated				
Α	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	
Year	Price of Hay Per Ton	Estimated Expenses	Net Operating Income Per Ton (B-(B*C))	Production Per Acre Total Tons	Net Operating Income/Acre (D*E)	5-Year Weight	Weighted Average NOI (F*G)	
2005	109.42	91.25%	9.57	3.50	33.50	0.3333	11.17	
2004	98.58	91.25%	8.63	3.50	30.21	0.2667	8.06	
2003	87.14	91.25%	7.62	3.50	26.67	0.2000	5.33	
2002	99.27	91.25%	8.69	3.50	30.42	0.1333	4.05	
2001	109.26	91.25%	9.56	3.50	33.46	0.0667	2.23	
Income stream = F	ive-year weighted a	average of net oper	ating income	Income stream:			\$ 30.84	
Capitalization Rate	:					10.25%		
Value / Acre (Incon Level of Assessme	Value / Acre (Income stream / cap rate) Level of Assessment: 35.00%							
Assessed Value / Acre (Value per acre times assessment level)								
Rounded Assessed	d Value:						\$ 105.00	

			3rd Class	Cultivated					
Α	В	С	D	E	F	G		Н	
Year	Price of Hay Per Ton	Estimated Expenses	Net Operating Income Per Ton (B-(B*C))	Production Per Acre Total Tons	Net Operating Income/Acre (D*E)	5-Year Weight	A	eighted verage NOI (F*G)	
2005	109.42	91.25%	9.57	2.50	23.93	0.3333		7.98	
2004	98.58	91.25%	8.63	2.50	21.58	0.2667		5.76	
2003	87.14	91.25%	7.62	2.50	19.05	0.2000		3.81	
2002	99.27	91.25%	8.69	2.50	21.73	0.1333		2.90	
2001	109.26	91.25%	9.56	2.50	23.90	0.0667		1.59	
Income stream = F	Five-year weighted a	verage of net oper	ating income	Income stream:			\$	22.04	
Capitalization Rate	e:					10.25%			
Value / Acre (Incor	me stream / cap rate	e)					\$	215.02	
	Level of Assessment: 35.00%								
Assessed Value / /	Assessed Value / Acre (Value per acre times assessment level)								
Rounded Assesse	Rounded Assessed Value:								

Cultivated Land Value per Acre

			4th Class Cultivated							
Α	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н			
Year	Price of Hay Per Ton	Estimated Expenses	Net Operating Income Per Ton (B-(B*C))	Production Per Acre Total Tons	Net Operating Income/Acre (D*E)	5-Year Weight	Weighted Average NOI (F*G)			
2005	109.42	91.25%	9.58	1.75	16.77	0.3333	5.59			
2004	98.58	91.25%	8.95	1.75	15.66	0.2667	4.18			
2003	87.14	91.25%	7.62	1.75	13.34	0.2000	2.67			
2002	99.27	91.25%	8.69	1.75	15.21	0.1333	2.03			
2001	109.26	91.25%		1.75	16.73	0.0667	1.12			
Income stream = F	ive-year weighted a	average of net oper	ating income				\$ 15.59			
Capitalization Rate):					10.25%				
Value / Acre (Incon	Value / Acre (Income stream / cap rate)									
Level of Assessme	Level of Assessment: 35.00%									
Assessed Value / A	Assessed Value / Acre (Value per acre times assessment level)									
Rounded Assessed	ounded Assessed Value:									

			1st Class	Wild Hay										
Α	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н							
Year	Price of Hay Per Ton	Estimated Expenses	Net Operating Income Per Ton (B-(B*C))	Production Per Acre Total Tons	Net Operating Income/Acre (D*E)	5-Year Weight	Weighted Average NOI (F*G)							
2005	89.91	70.00%	26.97	1.00	26.97	0.3333	8.99							
2004	75.82	70.00%	22.75	1.00	22.75	0.2667	6.07							
2003	73.04	70.00%	21.91	1.00	21.91	0.2000	4.38							
2002	91.39	70.00%	27.42	1.00	27.42	0.1333	3.66							
2001	82.03	70.00%	24.61	1.00	24.61	0.0667	1.64							
Five-year weighted	l average of net ope	erating income:		Income stream:			\$ 24.74							
	Capitalization Rate: 10.25' Value / Acre (Income stream / cap rate)													
	Level of Assessment: 35.00% Assessed Value/Acre (Value per acre times assessment level)													
			,			Assessed Value/Acre (Value per acre times assessment level) Rounded Assessed Value:								

Wild Hay Land Value per Acre

			2nd Class	Wild Hay					
Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		
Year	Price of Hay Per Ton	Estimated Expenses	Net Operating Income Per Ton (B-(B*C))	Production Per Acre Total Tons	Net Operating Income/Acre (D*E)	5-Year Weight	Weighted Average NOI (F*G)		
2005	89.91	70.00%	26.97	0.75	20.23	0.3333	6.74		
2004	75.82	70.00%	22.75	0.75	17.06	0.2667	4.55		
2003	73.04	70.00%	21.91	0.75	16.43	0.2000	3.29		
2002	91.39	70.00%	27.42	0.75	20.57	0.1333	2.74		
2001	82.03	70.00%	24.61	0.75	18.46	0.0667	1.23		
Five-year weighted	l average of net ope	rating income:		Income stream:			\$ 18.55		
Capitalization Rate	:					10.25%			
Value / Acre (Incon	ne stream / cap rate	e)					\$ 180.98		
Level of Assessme	ent:					35.00%			
Assessed Value/Ad	Assessed Value/Acre (Value per acre times assessment level)								
Rounded Assessed	ounded Assessed Value:								

	1st Class Pasture								
Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
Year	Gross Rent Per AUM	AUMS Per Acre	Gross Income (B*C)	Management Expense % of Gross	Adjusted Gross Income (D-(D*E))	Fence, Ditch Water, Maintenance, Insurance	Net Operating Income (F-G)	5-Year	Weighted Average NOI (H*I)
2005	17.00	4.0	68.00	6.17%	63.80	17.95	45.85	0.3333	15.28
2004	13.74	4.0	54.96	6.17%	51.57	17.95	33.62	0.2667	8.97
2003	12.29	4.0	49.16	6.17%	46.13	17.95	28.18	0.2000	5.64
2002	12.07	4.0	48.28	6.17%	45.30	17.95	27.35	0.1333	3.65
2001	11.52	4.0	46.08	6.17%	43.24	17.95	25.29	0.0667	1.69
Five-year we	ighted average	e of net opera	ating income	e:	Income stream	:			\$ 35.23
Capitalization	n Rate:							10.25%	
Value / Acre	(Income stream	m / cap rate)							\$ 343.71
Level of Assessment: 35.00%								35.00%	
Assessed Value/Acre (Value per acre times assessment level)								\$ 120.30	
Rounded Assessed Value:									\$ 120.00

	2nd Class Pasture								
Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
Year	Gross Rent Per AUM	AUMS Per Acre	Gross Income (B*C)	Management Expense % of Gross	Adjusted Gross Income (D-(D*E))	Fence, Ditch Water, Maintenance, Insurance	Net Operating Income (F-G)	5-Year Weight	Weighted Average NOI (H*I)
2005	17.00	3.0	51.00	6.17%	47.85	12.93	34.92	0.3333	11.64
2004	13.74	3.0	41.22	6.17%	38.68	12.93	25.75	0.2667	6.87
2003	12.29	3.0	36.87	6.17%	34.60	12.93	21.67	0.2000	4.33
2002	12.07	3.0	36.21	6.17%	33.98	12.93	21.05	0.1333	2.81
2001	11.52	3.0	34.56	6.17%	32.43	12.93	19.50	0.0667	1.30
Five-year we	ighted average	e of net opera	ting income	:	Income stream				\$ 26.95
•	Capitalization Rate: 10.25% Value / Acre (Income stream / cap rate)								\$ 262.90
Level of Assessment: 35.00%									
Assessed Value/Acre (Value per acre times assessment level) Rounded Assessed Value:								\$ 92.01 \$ 92.00	

Pasture Land	Value	per Acre
--------------	-------	----------

				3rd	Class Pasture					
Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	l	J	
Year	Gross Rent Per AUM	AUMS Per Acre	Gross Income (B*C)	Management Expense % of Gross	Adjusted Gross Income (D-(D*E))	Fence, Ditch Water, Maintenance, Insurance	Net Operating Income (F-G)	5-Year Weight	Weighted Average NOI (H*I)	
2005	17.00	2.5	42.50	6.17%	39.88	10.15	29.73	0.3333	9.91	
2004	13.74	2.5	34.35	6.17%	32.23	10.15	22.08	0.2667	5.89	
2003	12.29	2.5	30.73	6.17%	28.83	10.15	18.68	0.2000	3.74	
2002	12.07	2.5	30.18	6.17%	28.31	10.15	18.16	0.1333	2.42	
2001	11.52	2.5	28.80	6.17%	27.02	10.15	16.87	0.0667	1.13	
Five-year we	ighted average	e of net opera	iting income	e:	Income stream				\$ 23.08	
Capitalization		m / can rate)						10.25%	\$ 225.17	
Level of Assessment: 35.00%										
Assessed Va	Assessed Value/Acre (Value per acre times assessment level) \$									
Rounded Ass	sessed Value:								\$ 79.00	

				4th	Class Pasture				
Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J
Year	Gross Rent Per AUM	AUMS Per Acre	Gross Income (B*C)	Management Expense % of Gross	Adjusted Gross Income (D-(D*E))	Fence, Ditch Water, Maintenance, Insurance	Net Operating Income (F-G)	5-Year Weight	Weighted Average NOI (H*I)
2005	17.00	1.5	25.50	6.17%	23.93	9.08	14.85	0.3333	4.95
2004	2004 13.74 1.5 20.61 6.17% 19.34 9.08 10.26 0.2667								
2003	2003 12.29 1.5 18.44 6.17% 17.30 9.08 8.22 0.2000							1.64	
2002	12.07	1.5	18.11	6.17%	16.99	9.08	7.91	0.1333	1.05
2001	11.52	1.5	17.28	6.17%	16.21	9.08	7.13	0.0667	0.48
Five-year we	eighted average	e of net opera	ating income):	Income stream	:			\$ 10.86
Capitalizatio	n Rate:							10.25%	
Value / Acre	(Income stream	m / cap rate)							\$ 105.94
Level of Assessment: 35.00%									
Assessed Va	alue/Acre (Valu	ie per acre tir	nes assessi	ment level)				_	\$ 37.08
Rounded As	sessed Value:								\$ 37.00

Grazing Land Value per Acre

					1:	st Class Gr	azing				
Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
Year	Gross Rent Per AUM	Acres Required	Grazing Period # Months	Total Acres Required (C*D)	Season Return (B*D)	Gross Income Per Acre (F/E)	Fence, Ditch Water, Maintenance, Insurance Expense 10% of G	Management	Net Operating Income (G-(H+I))	5-year Weight	Weighted Average NOI
2005	4.87	2	5	10	24.35	2.44	0.24	0.05	2.15	0.3333	0.71
2004	04 4.27 2 5 10 21.35 2.14 0.21 0.04 1.89 0.2667									0.50	
2003	2.60	2	5	10	13.00	1.30	0.13	0.03	1.14	0.2000	0.23
2002	3.00	2	5	10	15.00	1.50	0.15	0.03	1.32	0.1333	0.18
2001	2.80	2	5	10	14.00	1.40	0.14	0.03	1.23	0.0667	0.08
,	ive-year weighted average of net operating income: Income stream: 10.25%									\$ 1.70	
Value / Level o	/alue / Acre (Income stream / cap rate) \$.evel of Assessment: 35.00% Assessed Value/Acre (Value per acre times assessment level) \$										

Rounded Assessed Value:

					2n	d Class Gr	azing				
Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L
Year	Gross Rent Per AUM	Acres Required	Grazing Period # Months	Total Acres Required (C*D)	Season Return (B*D)	Gross Income Per Acre (F/E)	Fence, Ditch Water, Maintenance, Insurance Expense 7% of (G)	Managemeni Expense 2.08% of G	Net Operating Income (G-(H+I))	5-year Weight	Weighted Average NOI
2005											
2004	004 4.27 4 5 20 21.35 1.07 0.07 0.02 0.98 0.2667										
2003	003 2.60 4 5 20 13.00 0.65 0.05 0.01 0.59 0.2000									0.12	
2002	3.00	4	5	20	15.00	0.75	0.05	0.02	0.68	0.1333	0.09
2001	2.80	4	5	20	14.00	0.70	0.05	0.01	0.64	0.0667	0.04
Capitali	Five-year weighted average of net operating income: Income stream: Capitalization Rate: 10.25%										0.88
Value / Acre (Income stream / cap rate) Level of Assessment: 35.00% Assessed Value/Acre (Value per acre times assessment level)											\$ 8.59 \$ 3.00
Rounde	ed Assessed	Value:									\$ 3.00

5.80

\$

					3r	d Class Gr	azing				
Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L
Year	Gross Rent Per AUM	Acres Required	Grazing Period # Months	Total Acres Required (C*D)	Season Return (B*D)	Gross Income Per Acre (F/E)	Fence, Ditch Water, Maintenance, Insurance Expense 3% of G	Managemeni Expense 2.08% of G	Net Operating Income (G-(H+I))	5-year Weight	Weighted Average NOI
2005	4.87	6	5	30	24.35	0.81	0.02	0.02	0.77	0.3333	0.26
2004	4.27	6	5	30	21.35	0.71	0.02	0.01	0.68	0.2667	0.18
2003	2.60	6	5	30	13.00	0.43	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.2000	0.08
2002	3.00	6	5	30	15.00	0.50	0.02	0.01	0.47	0.1333	0.06
2001	2.80	6	5	30	14.00	0.47	0.01	0.01	0.45	0.0667	0.03
-ive-year weighted average of net operating income: Income stream: Capitalization Rate: 10.25% /alue / Acre (Income stream / cap rate)										\$ 0.61 \$ 5.99	
Level of Assessment: 35.00% Assessed Value/Acre (Value per acre times assessment level) Rounded Assessed Value:											\$ 2.10 \$ 2.10

Grazing Land Value per Acre

4th Class Grazing

Fourth class grazing is deemed to have minimal value. Pursuant to NRS 361.230 (1), no patented land of any description in the state of Nevada owned by any individual, partnership, association, estate, corporation or otherwise, and no land held under any state land contract, shall be assessed for less than \$1.25 per acre by the county assessors of the various counties.

Value / Acre (Income stream / cap rate)	\$	3.57
Level of Assessment:	35.00%	
Assessed Value/Acre (Value per acre times assessment level)	\$	1.25

Cultivated Land Value per Acre

Intensive Use Lands

The formula adopted by the Tax Commission reflects the idea that intensive use lands have a value approximately 30% greater than cultivated lands. The formula used to estimate the value of intensive use lands is the value of 1 st cultivated divided by 2nd cultivated times 1st cultivated. In effect it reflects the percentage of difference in value between 1 st and 2nd cultivated lands to reflect a graduated value line.

1st Class Cultivated				=	\$	Assessed Value per Acre
2nd Class Cultivated				=	\$	Assessed Value per Acre
\$ \$	135.00 135.00	divided by x	\$	105.00 1.29	=	1.29 \$174.00 Assessed value per acre

ASSESSMENT OF OPEN-SPACE REAL PROPERTY

Procedures

NRS 361A.170 requires the governing bodies of every city or county, as part of their master plan, to establish and promote the conservation, maintenance and protection of open-space property. If a property is designated as open-space property, it is eligible for an open-space use assessment.

Open-space use applications are filed with county assessors who forward the applications to the county commissioners or city governing body. The county commissioners or governing bodies evaluate open-space use assessment applications and take action based on procedures adopted by ordinance.

Pursuant to NRS 361A.220 (2) assessors must maintain records of open-space use assessments and make these records available to any person upon request. They must notify property owners of their open-space use assessments in the same manner used to notify property owners of their taxable value assessments. The notice must contain the following statement: **Deferred taxes will become due on this parcel if it is converted to a higher use.**

Pursuant to NRS 361A.050, historic sites may qualify for open-space use assessment. The following conditions must be met:

- 1. Department of Cultural Affairs, State Historic Preservation Office must designate the site as historical.
- 2. In addition, an historic site must meet the requirements of NRS 361A.170 through 361A.210.

Valuation Methodology

If an application is approved, the assessor calculates an open-space use assessment by discounting the property's equalized taxable value. The Nevada Tax Commission adopted a formula in which open-space use assessments receive a discount of 9 percent for a term of 3 and 1/2 years.

To apply this discount, multiply the taxable value of the open-space property by the factor of .74. The assessed value is 35 percent of the open-space use value. If the qualified property is a historic site with both land and improvements, apply the .74 factor to the equalized taxable value of both land and improvements.

Example

The subject property qualifies as open-space land. The equalized taxable value of comparable land nearby, which is not open-space land, is \$10,000 per acre. The equalized taxable value of the subject's improvements, an older historic residence, is \$40,000.

Land	10,000 x.74 = 7,400 x 3	5% =	\$ 2,590
Improvements	40,000 x.74 = 29,600 x = 320,600 x	35% =	\$10,360
Total assessed bas	ed on open-space use	=	\$12,950

The assessor should record for tax deferral purposes the following:

Land	\$10,000 x 35%	=	\$ 3,500
Improvements	\$40,000 x 35%	=	\$14,000
Total Assessed V	alue	=	\$17,500

Equalize an open-space property's taxable value with the taxable values of comparable surrounding properties. Reappraise the open-space property with other properties in the normal reappraisal cycle. If the taxable value increases or decreases during reappraisal, make the appropriate adjustments to the open-space use valuation.

Appendix

Appendix

2005
Nevada Agricultural Statistics Service

	Avera	age	Price
Month	Alfalfa Hay		All Hay
January	\$ 91.00	\$	93.00
February	\$ 90.00	\$	94.00
March	\$ 88.00	\$	90.00
April	\$ 90.00	\$	93.00
May	\$ 90.00	\$	90.00
June	\$ 99.00	\$	100.00
July	\$ 103.00	\$	104.00
August	\$ 101.00	\$	102.00
September	\$ 97.00	\$	97.00
October	\$ 98.00	\$	100.00
November	\$ 103.00	\$	105.00
December	\$ 102.00	\$	102.00
Median Price	\$ 97 50	\$	98 50

Median Price \$

97.50 \$

98.50

FEED REQUIREMENT CHART ANIMALS PER ACRE PER YEAR

	1 st Cult	2 nd Cult	3 rd Cult	4 th Cult	1 st Pasture Wild Hay	2 nd Pasture Wild Hay	3 rd Pasture	4 th Pasture	1 st Grazing	2 nd Grazing	3 rd Grazing	4 th Grazing
BEEF CATTLE	_											
Mature Cow and Calf Mature Bull Yearling Calves Steers	0.83 0.64 1.11 1.67 0.83	0.73 0.56 0.97 1.46 0.73	$0.52 \\ 0.40 \\ 0.69 \\ 1.04 \\ 0.52$	0.36 0.28 0.49 0.73 0.36	0.33 0.26 0.44 0.67 0.33	0.29 0.22 0.39 0.58 0.29	0.21 0.16 0.28 0.42 0.21	0.13 0.10 0.17 0.25 0.13	0.021 0.016 0.028 0.042 0.021	$\begin{array}{c} 0.017 \\ 0.013 \\ 0.022 \\ 0.033 \\ 0.017 \end{array}$	0.009 0.007 0.012 0.018 0.009	0.007 0.005 0.009 0.013 0.007
DAIRY CATTLE	_											
Mature Cow Dairy Bull Yearling Calves	0.69 0.64 1.26 2.08	0.61 0.56 1.10 1.82	0.43 0.40 0.79 1.30	0.30 0.28 0.55 0.91	0.28 0.26 0.51 0.83	0.24 0.22 0.44 0.73	0.17 0.16 0.32 0.52	0.10 0.10 0.19 0.31	0.017 0.016 0.032 0.052	0.014 0.013 0.025 0.042	0.008 0.007 0.014 0.023	0.006 0.005 0.010 0.017
SHEEP	-											
Ewe and Lamb Mature Buck Lambs	4.17 4.17 5.56	3.65 3.65 4.86	2.60 2.60 3.47	1.82 1.82 2.43	1.67 1.67 2.22	1.46 1.46 1.94	1.04 1.04 1.39	0.63 0.63 0.83	0.104 0.104 0.139	0.083 0.083 0.111	0.046 0.046 0.061	0.033 0.033 0.044
SWINE	-											
Sow and Litter Boar Pig, (4 mos.) Pig, (6 mos.)	1.67 1.67 3.33 2.08	1.46 1.46 2.92 1.82	1.04 1.04 2.08 1.30	0.73 0.73 1.46 0.91	0.67 0.67 1.33 0.83	0.58 0.58 1.17 0.73	0.42 0.42 0.83 0.52	0.25 0.25 0.50 0.31	0.042 0.042 0.083 0.052	0.033 0.033 0.067 0.042	0.018 0.018 0.037 0.023	0.013 0.013 0.027 0.017
HORSES	-											
Mature Adult Yearling Weanling	0.64 0.83 1.11	0.56 0.73 0.97	0.40 0.52 0.69	0.28 0.36 0.49	0.26 0.33 0.44	0.22 0.29 0.39	0.16 0.21 0.28	0.10 0.13 0.17	0.016 0.011 0.028	0.013 0.017 0.022	0.007 0.009 0.012	0.005 0.007 0.009

Land used in the feeding, breeding, management and sale of livestock, poultry or the produce thereof must be capable of providing more than one-half of the feed required during the year. This chart provides the total number of **animals possible per acre per year**. A qualified property must be of sufficient size and capacity to produce more than one-half of the feed required during that year.

Source: University of Nevada, Reno College of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Agriculture Extension Service

FEED REQUIREMENT CHART ACRES PER ANIMAL PER YEAR

	1 st Cult	2 nd Cult	3 rd Cult	4 th Cult	1 st Pasture Wild Hay	2 nd Pasture Wild Hay	3 rd Pasture	4 th Pasture	1 st Grazing	2 nd Grazing	3 rd Grazing	4 th Grazing
BEEF CATTLE												
Mature Cow												
and Calf	1.20	1.37	1.92	2.74	3.00	3.43	4.80	8.00	48.0	60.0	109.1	150.0
Mature Bull	1.56	1.78	2.50	3.57	3.90	4.46	6.24	10.40	62.4	78.0	141.8	195.0
Yearling	0.90	1.03	1.44	2.06	2.25	2.57	3.60	6.00	36.0	45.0	81.8	112.5
Calves	0.60	0.69	0.96	1.37	1.50	1.71	2.40	4.00	24.0	30.0	54.5	75.0
Steers	1.20	1.37	1.92	2.74	3.00	3.43	4.80	8.00	48.0	60.0	109.1	150.0
DAIRY CATTLE												
		1.65	2 20	2.20	2.60			0.60		70.0	120.0	100.0
Mature Cow	1.44	1.65	2.30	3.29	3.60 3.90	4.11	5.76 6.24	9.60	57.6	72.0 78.0	130.9	180.0
Dairy Bull	1.56 0.79	1.78 0.91	2.50 1.27	3.57 1.81		4.46 2.26	6.24 3.17	10.40 5.28	62.4 31.7	78.0 39.6	141.8 72.0	195.0 99.0
Yearling Calves	0.79	0.91	0.77	1.81	1.98 1.20	1.37	1.92	3.28	19.2	24.0	43.6	99.0 60.0
Calves	0.48	0.55	0.77	1.10	1.20	1.57	1.92	5.20	19.2	24.0	45.0	00.0
SHEEP												
Ewe and												
Lamb	0.24	0.27	0.38	0.55	0.60	0.69	0.96	1.59	9.6	12.0	21.8	30.0
Mature Buck	0.24	0.27	0.38	0.55	0.60	0.69	0.96	1.59	9.6	12.0	21.8	30.0
Lambs	0.18	0.21	0.29	0.41	0.45	0.51	0.72	1.20	7.2	9.0	16.4	22.5
SWINE												
Sow and												
Litter	0.60	0.69	0.96	1.37	1.50	1.71	2.40	4.00	24.0	30.0	54.5	75.0
Boar	0.60	0.69	0.96	1.37	1.50	1.71	2.40	4.00	24.0	30.0	54.5	75.0
Pig, (4 mos.)	0.30	0.34	0.48	0.69	0.75	0.86	1.20	2.00	12.0	15.0	27.3	37.5
Pig, (6 mos.)	0.48	0.55	0.77	1.10	1.20	1.37	1.92	3.20	19.2	24.0	43.6	60.0
HORSES												
Mataur												
Mature Adult	1.56	1.78	2.50	3.57	3.90	4.46	6.24	10.40	62.4	78.0	141.8	195.0
Yearling	1.56	1.78	2.50 1.92	3.57 2.74	3.90	4.46 3.43	6.24 4.80	8.00	62.4 48.0	78.0 60.0	141.8	195.0
Weanling	0.90	1.07	1.92	2.74	2.25	2.57	4.80 3.60	8.00 6.00	48.0 36.0	45.0	81.8	130.0
w canning	0.90	1.03	1.44	2.00	2.23	2.57	5.00	0.00	50.0	45.0	01.0	112.3

Land used in the feeding, breeding, management and sale of livestock, poultry and the produce thereof must be capable of providing more than one-half of the feed required during the year. This chart provides the **total acreage needed to sustain the specified animal for one year**. A qualified property must be of sufficient size and capacity to produce more than one-half of the feed required during that year.

Source: University of Nevada, Reno College of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Agriculture Extension Service