

# STATE OF NEVADA STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

JOE LOMBARDO Governor 3850 Arrowhead Drive, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Carson City, Nevada 89706 Telephone (775) 684-2160 Fax (775) 684-2020 SHELLIE HUGHES Secretary

In the Matter of:

LCG Waterfront, LLC,

PETITIONER

vs.

Washoe County Assessor,

RESPONDENT

Case No. 23-170

## NOTICE OF DECISION

#### Appearances

Steven Polikalas appeared on behalf of LCG Waterfront, LLC (Taxpayer).

Al Holwill and Steve Clement appeared on behalf of the Washoe County Assessor (Assessor).

#### Summary

The matter of Taxpayer's appeal regarding real property valuation on the 2023-2024 secured tax roll came before the State Board of Equalization (Board) for hearing on September 28, 2023, in Carson City, Nevada and via zoom. The property is located in Washoe County, Nevada.

New evidence in the form of aerial photos and a Marshall & Swift valuation printout were presented during the hearing and the Board unanimously accepted the new evidence into the record.

The Board, having considered all evidence, documents and testimony pertaining to the jurisdiction of the Board, hereby makes the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision.

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. The Board is an administrative body created pursuant to NRS 361.375.

2. The Board is mandated to hear all appeals of property tax assessments pursuant to NRS 361.360 and NRS 361.400.

3. Taxpayer and Assessor were given adequate, proper and legal notice of the time and place of the hearing before the Board, and the matter was properly noticed pursuant to the Nevada Open Meeting Law.

4. Taxpayer has the burden of proof pursuant to NAC 361.741.

5. The Taxpayer argued the property quality classification was not set correctly by the Assessor and the Taxpayer presented comparable properties for evaluation by the Board.

6. The Assessor presented evidence in the form of testimony, aerial photos and a Marshall & Swift valuation sheet in support of their property quality classification.

7. Any finding of fact above construed to constitute a conclusion of law is adopted as such to the same extent as if originally so designated.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

8. Taxpayer and Assessor are subject to the jurisdiction of the Board.

9. The Board has the authority to determine the taxable values in the State.

10. Taxpayer failed to meet its burden to show the County Board of Equalization's decision was in error.

11. The taxable values for the subject property did not exceed full cash value as indicated by evidence presented.

**12.** Any conclusion of law above construed to constitute a finding of fact is adopted as such to the same extent as if originally so designated.

#### DECISION

Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and a preponderance of the evidence, the State Board decided by a unanimous vote to uphold the County Board's decision for no change to the quality classification of 2.5, determined by the Assessor. The Petition is denied.

BY THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION THIS <sup>15</sup>	DAY OF December	, 2023.
Shellitt		,

Shellie Hughes, Secretary