



NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION
Division of Local Government Services

REAL PROPERTY TRANSFER TAX

2nd QUARTER FY 2020-2021 REPORT October 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020

Including fiscal year to date results (July 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020)

Division of Local Government Services

REAL PROPERTY TRANSFER TAX
2nd QUARTER FY 2020-2021 REPORT
October 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020

Including fiscal year to date results (July 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020)

Department of Taxation
Division of Local Government Services
1550 E. College Parkway, Suite 115
Carson City, NV 89706
Phone 775.684.2100 • Fax 775.684.2020

Published: March 31, 2021

Table of Contents

RESULTS	1
Background	1
Tax Totals	1
Distribution of Tax	3
Exemptions	7
Historical Trends	14
Reconciliation.....	20
ADMINISTRATION OF THE REAL PROPERTY TRANSFER TAX.....	23
Authority and Oversight	23
Calculation and Collection of the Tax	23
Collection Allowance	24
Distribution of the Tax	25

RESULTS

Background

The Real Property Transfer Tax (RPTT) is collected in each County when deeds¹ are presented for recording, with some exceptions². Tax rates vary in each County³ and range from \$1.95 to \$2.55 per \$500 of value⁴ or fraction thereof. The amounts collected are then distributed to various funds at the County and State levels. The statutes related to RPTT are contained in Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 375. The regulations for the tax are contained in Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 375. For a more complete description of the tax, please refer to the next section, Administration of the Real Property Transfer Tax.

Tax Totals

The following table shows total tax⁵ by County for the 2nd Quarter (October 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020) of Fiscal Year 2020-2021 (FY20-21). Approximately 91.63% (~\$55.14 million) of the total tax (~\$60.17 million) for the 2nd Quarter of FY20-21 relates to transactions in Clark County and Washoe County. Approximately 90.69% (~\$101.89 million) of the total tax (~\$112.35 million) for Fiscal Year to Date (FYTD) 20-21 (July 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020) relates to transactions in Clark County and Washoe County.

County	2nd Quarter Amount	2nd Quarter %	FYTD Amount	FYTD %
Total	\$60,172,805.14	100.00%	\$112,351,421.44	100.00%
Clark	43,927,868.25	73.00%	80,666,881.05	71.80%
Washoe	11,207,255.70	18.63%	21,222,600.40	18.89%
Douglas	1,884,606.75	3.13%	4,176,036.15	3.72%
Lyon	838,508.44	1.39%	1,834,818.04	1.63%
Carson City	821,901.60	1.37%	1,397,438.25	1.24%
Nye	430,891.50	0.72%	903,557.85	0.80%
Elko	455,839.80	0.76%	884,960.70	0.79%
Churchill	199,456.80	0.33%	400,498.25	0.36%
Humboldt	168,012.00	0.28%	313,234.35	0.28%
Storey	97,790.55	0.16%	210,611.70	0.19%
Pershing	30,953.40	0.05%	111,599.55	0.10%
White Pine	42,205.50	0.07%	80,423.55	0.07%
Lincoln	20,553.00	0.03%	57,723.90	0.05%
Lander	21,481.20	0.04%	42,570.45	0.04%
Mineral	14,923.35	0.02%	26,541.45	0.02%
Eureka	7,310.55	0.01%	14,580.15	0.01%
Esmeralda	3,246.75	0.01%	7,345.65	0.01%

¹ Per NRS 375.010(1)(b), a Deed essentially means any instrument that transfers an estate or present interest in real property, regardless of the name of the document, with certain exceptions.


² Non-taxable events (Exceptions) are listed in NRS 375.010(1)(b); Exemptions are listed in NRS 375.090.

³ See Table in the next section "Calculation and Collection of the Tax" for the rates and distribution in each County.

⁴ NRS 375.020 through 375.026 impose tax "for each \$500 of value or fraction thereof...if the consideration or value of the interest or property conveyed exceeds \$100".

⁵ Amounts in table are from Recorders Monthly Report; minor adjustments for refunds or timing differences are excluded.

The total tax for FYTD20-21 is depicted graphically below:



Transactions in Washoe County and Clark County account for approximately 90.69% of the total Real Property Transfer Tax in FY20-21. Transactions in the other 15 counties account for the remaining 9.31%. The total tax is approximately \$112.35 million.

Distribution of Tax

The following table shows the distribution of the tax for the 2nd Quarter (October 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020) of FY20-21. The “Level of Government” heading indicates where the tax is ultimately used.

<i>Level of Govt</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>County</i>
	General Fund⁶	Collection Allowance⁷	Consolidated Tax⁸	School District⁹	Low-Income Housing¹⁰	Local Govt Tax Act¹¹
Total¹²	\$32,525,020.88	\$328,063.00	\$13,899,673.18	\$10,335,963.83	\$2,527,436.67	\$556,647.57
Clark	22,170,658.67	223,944.27	9,474,626.19	10,335,963.83	1,722,675.28	
Washoe	7,035,915.13	70,605.71	3,006,906.70		546,914.08	546,914.08
Douglas	1,243,840.46	12,564.67	531,555.22		96,646.40	
Lyon	553,415.57	5,590.34	236,502.14		43,000.39	
Carson City	542,455.06	5,479.62	231,818.17		42,148.76	
Elko	300,854.27	3,039.08	128,570.07		23,376.38	
Nye	284,388.39	2,872.75	121,533.38		22,096.98	
Churchill	125,218.98	1,256.58	53,514.26		9,733.49	9,733.49
Humboldt	110,887.92	1,120.14	47,387.95		8,615.99	
Storey	64,541.76	651.97	27,581.92		5,014.89	
White Pine	27,855.63	281.38	11,904.10		2,164.38	
Pershing	20,429.24	206.37	8,730.44		1,587.35	
Lander	14,177.59	143.22	6,058.79		1,101.60	
Lincoln	13,564.98	137.03	5,796.99		1,054.00	
Mineral	9,849.41	99.49	4,209.15		765.30	
Eureka	4,824.96	48.74	2,061.95		374.90	
Esmeralda	2,142.86	21.65	915.75		166.50	

⁶ Per NRS 375.023, the tax rate includes \$1.30 per \$500 of value or fraction thereof for the State General Fund. The amount in the table is net of the Collection Allowance per NRS 375.023(4).

⁷ Per NRS 375.023(4), Counties are entitled to 1% of the State’s \$1.30 portion of the taxes collected to defray the County’s administrative costs associated with the tax.

⁸ Per NRS 375.020, the tax rate includes \$0.65 per \$500 of value or fraction thereof. Of this amount, \$0.55 (NRS 375.070(1)(c) is distributed to the Local Government Tax Distribution Account, where it is combined with the proceeds of other taxes and becomes part of the Consolidated Tax or CTX (See NRS 360.660). The CTX is ultimately distributed back to Counties. The remaining \$0.10 (NRS 375.070(1)(a) is distributed to the State administered Low-Income Housing Program created per NRS 319. In Clark County, an additional \$0.60 is added which is distributed to the Clark County School District per NRS 375.070(1)(b).

⁹ Ultimately distributed to the County School District. See previous note.

¹⁰ Ultimately distributed to the State account for Low-Income Housing, created pursuant to NRS 319.500. See Note 8.

¹¹ The Local Government Tax Act (LGTA) was passed in 1991 and can be found in the Special Acts section at the following link: <https://www.leg.state.nv.us/SpecialActs/59-LocalGovernmentTax1991.html>. See Section 32. Also see the updated LGTA of 1993. This act allows certain Counties, by local ordinance, to levy an additional tax of \$0.10 per \$500 of value or fraction thereof. Currently, only Churchill County and Washoe County levy the tax. The tax is ultimately distributed back to the County through the Tax Distribution Fund.

¹² Reported distributions may vary slightly from reported tax totals due to rounding.

The following table shows the distribution for FYTD20-21 (July 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020). The “Level of Government” heading indicates where the tax is ultimately used.

<i>Level of Govt</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>County</i>
	General Fund¹³	Collection Allowance¹⁴	Consolidated Tax¹⁵	School District¹⁶	Low-Income Housing¹⁷	Local Govt Tax Act¹⁸
Total¹⁹	\$60,928,591.75	\$614,544.91	\$26,038,033.98	\$18,980,433.11	\$4,734,610.48	\$1,055,207.21
Clark	40,713,058.87	411,239.76	17,398,716.91	18,980,433.11	3,163,432.41	
Washoe	13,323,548.53	133,702.38	5,694,023.69		1,035,662.90	1,035,662.90
Douglas	2,756,183.86	27,841.63	1,177,855.17		214,155.49	
Lyon	1,210,979.91	12,232.73	517,512.26		94,093.14	
Carson City	922,309.25	9,316.72	394,148.86		71,663.43	
Nye	596,348.18	6,024.02	254,849.40		46,336.25	
Elko	584,074.06	5,900.03	249,604.05		45,382.55	
Churchill	251,432.80	2,523.14	107,453.68		19,544.31	19,544.31
Humboldt	206,734.67	2,088.33	88,348.06		16,063.28	
Storey	139,003.72	1,404.15	59,403.24		10,800.59	
Pershing	73,655.70	744.03	31,476.76		5,723.05	
White Pine	53,079.54	536.18	22,683.54		4,124.28	
Lincoln	38,097.77	384.85	16,281.08		2,960.20	
Lander	28,096.50	283.82	12,007.04		2,183.10	
Mineral	17,517.36	176.95	7,486.04		1,361.10	
Eureka	9,622.90	97.21	4,112.35		747.70	
Esmeralda	4,848.13	48.97	2,071.85		376.70	

¹³ Per NRS 375.023, the tax rate includes \$1.30 per \$500 of value or fraction thereof for the State General Fund. The amount in the table is net of the Collection Allowance per NRS 375.023(4).

¹⁴ Per NRS 375.023(4), Counties are entitled to 1% of the State’s \$1.30 portion of the taxes collected to defray the County’s administrative costs associated with the tax.

¹⁵ Per NRS 375.020, the tax rate includes \$0.65 per \$500 of value or fraction thereof. Of this amount, \$0.55 (NRS 375.070(1)(c) is distributed to the Local Government Tax Distribution Account, where it is combined with the proceeds of other taxes and becomes part of the Consolidated Tax or CTX (See NRS 360.660). The CTX is ultimately distributed back to Counties. The remaining \$0.10 (NRS 375.070(1)(a) is distributed to the State administered Low-Income Housing Program created per NRS 319. In Clark County, an additional \$0.60 is added which is distributed to the Clark County School District per NRS 375.070(1)(b).


¹⁶ Ultimately distributed to the County School District. See previous note.

¹⁷ Ultimately distributed to the State account for Low-Income Housing, created pursuant to NRS 319.500. See Note 8.

¹⁸ The Local Government Tax Act (LGTA) was passed in 1991 and can be found in the Special Acts section at the following link: <https://www.leg.state.nv.us/SpecialActs/59-LocalGovernmentTax1991.html>. See Section 32. Also see the updated LGTA of 1993. This act allows certain Counties, by local ordinance, to levy an additional tax of \$0.10 per \$500 of value or fraction thereof. Currently, only Churchill County and Washoe County levy the tax. The tax is ultimately distributed back to the County through the Tax Distribution Fund.


¹⁹ Reported distributions may vary slightly from reported tax totals due to rounding.

The total tax for FYTD20-21 is depicted graphically below:



The proceeds allocated to the State General Fund (net of collection allowance) and to Low-Income Housing are ultimately used at the State level. The remaining proceeds are ultimately used at the local government level.

The total tax collected by the County Recorder is posted to various accounts in the County books and records. A portion of the amount collected is retained by the County. The retained amount consists of the collection allowance and School District amount (currently only in Clark County). The remaining portions (Consolidated Tax (CTX), State General fund (net of collection allowance), Low Income Housing, and Local Government Tax Act) are remitted by the County Treasurer to the State Controller for further distribution. CTX is ultimately combined with other taxes and distributed back to the Counties based on statutory allocation formulas. Similarly, the LGTA is combined with other LGTA taxes and distributed back to the Counties. The following flowchart shows the flow of the tax through the various systems. The blue indicates proceeds ultimately used at the State level. The green indicates proceeds ultimately used at the local government level.



See the distribution table and graph on the prior two pages for amounts distributed per the above flowchart for FYTD20-21.

Exemptions

The total number of deeds filed with the County Recorders for the 2nd Quarter of FY20-21 (October 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020) are shown in the following tables together with the number of each type of exemption. The exemption numbers correspond with the subparagraphs of NRS 375.090 as follows:

NRS 375.090 Exemptions. The taxes imposed by [NRS 375.020](#), [375.023](#) and [375.026](#) do not apply to:

1. A mere change in identity, form or place of organization, such as a transfer between a business entity and its parent, its subsidiary or an affiliated business entity if the affiliated business entity has identical common ownership.
 2. A transfer of title to the United States, any territory or state or any agency, department, instrumentality or political subdivision thereof.
 3. A transfer of title recognizing the true status of ownership of the real property, including, without limitation, a transfer by an instrument in writing pursuant to the terms of a land sale installment contract previously recorded and upon which the taxes imposed by this chapter have been paid.
 4. A transfer of title without consideration from one joint tenant or tenant in common to one or more remaining joint tenants or tenants in common.
 5. A transfer, assignment or other conveyance of real property if the owner of the property is related to the person to whom it is conveyed within the first degree of lineal consanguinity or affinity.
 6. A transfer of title between former spouses in compliance with a decree of divorce.
 7. A transfer of title to or from a trust without consideration if a certificate of trust is presented at the time of transfer.
 8. Transfers, assignments or conveyances of unpatented mines or mining claims.
 9. A transfer, assignment or other conveyance of real property to a corporation or other business organization if the person conveying the property owns 100 percent of the corporation or organization to which the conveyance is made.
 10. A conveyance of real property by deed which becomes effective upon the death of the grantor pursuant to [NRS 111.655](#) to [111.699](#), inclusive.
 11. The making, delivery or filing of conveyances of real property to make effective any plan of reorganization or adjustment:
 - (a) Confirmed under the Bankruptcy Act, as amended, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq.;
 - (b) Approved in an equity receivership proceeding involving a railroad, as defined in the Bankruptcy Act; or
 - (c) Approved in an equity receivership proceeding involving a corporation, as defined in the Bankruptcy Act, ➔ if the making, delivery or filing of instruments of transfer or conveyance occurs within 5 years after the date of the confirmation, approval or change.
 12. A transfer to an educational foundation. As used in this subsection, “educational foundation” has the meaning ascribed to it in subsection 3 of [NRS 388.750](#).
 13. A transfer to a university foundation. As used in this subsection, “university foundation” has the meaning ascribed to it in subsection 3 of [NRS 396.405](#).
 14. A transfer to a library foundation. As used in this subsection, “library foundation” has the meaning ascribed to it in [NRS 379.0056](#).
- (Added to NRS by [1967, 1761](#); A [1969, 569](#); [1971, 246](#); [1985, 862](#), [2046](#); [1991, 1122](#), [2053](#); [1993, 2308](#), [2624](#); [1995, 716](#), [1037](#); [2001, 1593](#); [2003, 3486](#); [2003, 20th Special Session, 172](#), [174](#); [2005, 962](#), [2057](#), [2488](#); [2007, 3393](#); [2009, 1112](#); [2011, 1354](#); [2017, 525](#))

Number of Exemptions for the 2nd Quarter of FY20-21, together with calculation of the ratio of the number of transfers (exempt and non-exempt) in each County to the number of transfers statewide, the ratio of the number of exempt transfers to the total (exempt and non-exempt) transfers in each County, and the percentage of transfers qualified for exemption in each County are shown in the following table. The table is sorted by Total Transfers in descending order.

County	% of Total Transfers	Total Transfers	Exempt Transfers	% of Total Exemptions	% of Transfers Exempted
Total	100.00%	55,620	23,314	100.00%	41.92%
Clark	72.40%	40,269	16,247	69.69%	40.35%
Washoe	13.43%	7,469	3,747	16.07%	50.17%
Nye	3.28%	1,823	678	2.91%	37.19%
Douglas	2.49%	1,385	616	2.64%	44.48%
Lyon	2.26%	1,256	517	2.22%	41.16%
Elko	1.85%	1,027	370	1.59%	36.03%
Carson City	1.33%	738	390	1.67%	52.85%
Humboldt	0.70%	392	150	0.64%	38.27%
Churchill	0.62%	344	167	0.72%	48.55%
Pershing	0.39%	215	87	0.37%	40.47%
Storey	0.25%	138	71	0.30%	51.45%
White Pine	0.25%	138	56	0.24%	40.58%
Lincoln	0.22%	124	64	0.27%	51.61%
Mineral	0.17%	96	42	0.18%	43.75%
Lander	0.16%	88	40	0.17%	45.45%
Esmeralda	0.12%	64	50	0.21%	78.13%
Eureka	0.10%	54	22	0.09%	40.74%


For the 2nd Quarter of FY20-21, per the tables under the heading "Tax Totals," transactions in Washoe County and Clark County account for 91.63% of the tax dollars. The above table indicates that transactions in Washoe County and Clark County account for 85.83% of the total number of transfers. Transactions in the other 15 counties account for 14.17% of the total number of transfers.

Additional information about the number of transfers qualified for each exemption (e.g. 1= exemption per [NRS 375.090\(1\)](#)) is also shown in the table for 2nd Quarter of FY20-21. The table is sorted by total exempt transfers in descending order.

County	EXEMPTION													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Total	187	106	4,624	792	8,101	327	8,135	89	481	466	2	4	0	0
Clark	134	64	3,315	575	6,218	210	5,187	3	341	195	2	3	0	0
Washoe	23	19	708	111	957	67	1,720	1	64	77	0	0	0	0
Nye	6	7	133	22	243	6	210	7	5	39	0	0	0	0
Douglas	2	3	102	12	121	7	325	1	11	32	0	0	0	0
Lyon	0	8	94	16	157	9	179	7	11	36	0	0	0	0
Carson City	1	0	61	10	90	7	199	0	7	15	0	0	0	0
Elko	11	2	84	13	119	6	105	12	5	13	0	0	0	0
Churchill	4	1	19	5	35	3	55	8	14	23	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	1	1	38	4	41	3	33	6	10	13	0	0	0	0
Pershing	1	0	26	7	16	1	17	7	7	5	0	0	0	0
Storey	0	1	8	2	22	2	33	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Lincoln	0	0	13	0	18	1	20	1	1	10	0	0	0	0
White Pine	3	0	10	4	19	2	10	3	4	0	0	1	0	0
Esmeralda	0	0	2	6	8	1	18	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mineral	1	0	5	2	19	0	2	7	0	6	0	0	0	0
Lander	0	0	4	3	14	1	11	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eureka	0	0	2	0	4	1	11	4	0	0	0	0	0	0

The following chart shows the number of exemptions by category for the 2nd Quarter of FY20-21.

Number of Exempt Transfers by Transfer Type- 2nd Quarter FY20-21



Number of Exemptions for FYTD20-21, together with calculation of the ratio of the number of transfers (exempt and non-exempt) in each County to the number of transfers statewide, the ratio of the number of exempt transfers to the total (exempt and non-exempt) transfers in each County, and the percentage of transfers qualified for exemption in each County are shown in the following table. The table is sorted by Total Transfers in descending order.

County	% of Total Transfers	Total Transfers	Exempt Transfers	% of Total Exemptions	% of Transfers Exempted
Total	100.00%	108,501	46,046	100.00%	42.44%
Clark	71.76%	77,861	32,457	70.49%	41.69%
Washoe	13.72%	14,882	7,136	15.50%	47.95%
Nye	3.41%	3,696	1,333	2.89%	36.07%
Douglas	2.51%	2,724	1,150	2.50%	42.22%
Lyon	2.25%	2,437	1,021	2.22%	41.90%
Elko	1.86%	2,021	686	1.49%	33.94%
Carson City	1.33%	1,444	742	1.61%	51.39%
Humboldt	0.72%	778	295	0.64%	37.92%
Churchill	0.64%	698	333	0.72%	47.71%
Pershing	0.41%	445	177	0.38%	39.78%
White Pine	0.32%	351	152	0.33%	43.30%
Storey	0.25%	272	148	0.32%	54.41%
Lincoln	0.21%	224	100	0.22%	44.64%
Mineral	0.17%	186	81	0.18%	43.55%
Lander	0.17%	182	69	0.15%	37.91%
Esmeralda	0.14%	157	108	0.23%	68.79%
Eureka	0.13%	143	58	0.13%	40.56%


For FYTD20-21, per the tables under the heading "Tax Totals," transactions in Clark County and Washoe County account for 90.69% of the tax dollars. The above table indicates that transactions in Clark County and Washoe County account for 85.48% of the total number of transfers. Transactions in the other 15 counties account for 14.52% the total number of transfers.

Additional information about the number of transfers qualified for each exemption (e.g. 1= exemption per [NRS 375.090\(1\)](#)) is shown in the table below for FYTD20-21. The table is sorted by total exempt transfers in descending order.

County	EXEMPTION													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Total	407	207	9,001	1,587	15,892	662	16,091	194	929	1,068	3	4	1	0
Clark	299	128	6,431	1,115	12,203	427	10,666	7	690	485	3	3	0	0
Washoe	51	32	1,358	237	1,866	135	3,144	2	128	183	0	0	0	0
Nye	10	9	240	43	449	10	442	16	7	107	0	0	0	0
Douglas	2	3	206	29	239	14	580	3	20	54	0	0	0	0
Lyon	1	12	198	40	304	21	365	9	16	55	0	0	0	0
Carson City	3	2	122	31	188	8	344	1	11	32	0	0	0	0
Elko	14	3	141	30	235	17	189	19	11	27	0	0	0	0
Churchill	4	4	56	7	84	7	99	10	17	45	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	8	3	78	12	89	6	51	11	12	25	0	0	0	0
Pershing	8	1	49	12	35	3	28	17	9	15	0	0	0	0
White Pine	4	1	38	7	52	6	33	4	4	2	0	1	0	0
Storey	0	4	34	8	39	2	56	0	1	4	0	0	0	0
Esmeralda	0	0	3	10	16	1	20	56	0	1	0	0	1	0
Lincoln	0	2	18	0	35	1	27	2	2	13	0	0	0	0
Mineral	1	0	12	3	29	1	7	8	0	20	0	0	0	0
Lander	0	2	11	3	23	2	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eureka	2	1	6	0	6	1	26	15	1	0	0	0	0	0

The following chart shows the number of exemptions by category for FYTD20-21.

Number of Exempt Transfers by Transfer Type- FYTD20-21



Historical Trends

The following table shows the tax for the last ten fiscal years²⁰ sorted by FY19-20 total.


	FY10-11	FY11-12	FY12-13	FY13-14	FY14-15	FY15-16 ²¹	FY16-17	FY17-18 ²²	FY18-19	FY19-20
Total	97,451,899.65	91,555,410.38	103,806,790.53	113,251,556.14	120,225,322.55	142,627,333.40	157,638,265.20	193,357,159.41	189,963,923.47	187,554,858.10
Clark	79,337,410.50	75,173,556.50	83,853,906.75	90,636,710.40	94,168,621.05	112,929,909.45	123,714,132.30	151,322,638.05	150,622,372.35	145,736,284.20
Washoe	10,943,230.05	9,910,474.93	12,686,613.60	14,885,138.14	17,482,779.25	20,744,198.05	23,367,835.20	28,364,200.00	25,785,705.65	27,285,908.35
Douglas	1,780,599.60	1,574,651.35	2,237,118.00	2,508,009.85	2,507,451.50	2,651,994.05	2,955,353.70	3,631,227.60	3,726,034.65	3,790,903.35
Lyon	1,183,072.80	941,914.35	1,074,019.05	1,366,253.85	1,407,088.80	1,573,053.30	1,730,024.40	2,530,900.46	2,721,012.45	2,320,850.95
Carson City	685,290.45	826,474.35	950,829.75	1,022,137.35	1,118,584.35	1,268,476.95	1,919,884.20	2,112,372.60	1,845,819.30	2,037,067.50
Elko	774,791.55	993,248.10	1,037,288.85	983,363.55	1,039,336.35	995,749.95	1,156,170.60	1,344,747.30	1,454,471.44	1,904,937.45
Nye	692,554.20	661,397.10	689,625.30	620,380.80	655,240.95	891,566.40	1,220,425.05	1,425,040.50	1,667,723.85	1,576,695.90
Humboldt	210,432.30	390,138.45	396,148.35	331,244.55	444,299.70	316,017.00	300,247.35	322,662.60	417,861.60	721,917.05
Churchill	1,373,664.00	274,482.70	337,844.10	264,390.55	326,661.35	453,173.00	513,838.65	624,792.85	707,360.70	718,438.90
Storey	151,499.40	305,682.00	112,557.90	207,376.65	495,855.75	217,955.40	345,655.05	1,096,290.00	453,107.40	470,215.20
Eureka	13,417.95	119,802.15	29,653.65	32,365.75	29,782.35	74,856.60	37,857.30	75,972.00	32,175.00	308,585.55
Pershing	51,902.55	102,137.10	89,704.90	61,206.60	162,805.50	86,219.25	71,804.85	140,985.00	100,865.70	230,384.60
Lander	64,515.75	85,569.90	91,143.98	79,066.65	136,217.25	94,953.30	72,288.45	97,209.35	89,173.50	189,372.30
White Pine	108,872.40	81,995.55	111,198.75	111,664.80	101,031.45	174,396.30	113,415.90	123,592.95	200,110.95	148,320.90
Lincoln	55,649.10	59,239.05	59,434.05	42,098.55	115,796.85	90,784.20	78,563.55	75,583.95	75,942.73	78,982.80
Mineral	15,646.80	39,089.70	40,969.50	28,048.80	26,947.05	58,026.15	26,902.20	41,449.20	37,001.25	28,468.05
Esmeralda	9,350.25	15,557.10	8,734.05	72,099.30	6,823.05	6,004.05	13,866.45	27,495.00	27,184.95	7,525.05

²⁰ Amounts in table are calculated from Recorders Monthly Report; minor adjustments for refunds and timing differences are excluded.

²¹ Total Amount varied from prior years' Annual Report due to corrections made in Clark, Washoe, Douglas, and Nye Counties.

²² Total Amount varied from last year's Annual Report due to inclusion of Esmeralda County's RPTT collections for April-June 2018.

Historical Trends depicted graphically as follows.



Real Property Transfer Tax 2nd Quarter FY20-21 Report

The following table shows the distribution of the tax over the last ten fiscal years.²³

	FY10-11	FY11-12	FY12-13	FY13-14	FY14-15 ²⁴	FY15-16 ²⁵	FY16-17	FY17-18 ²⁶	FY18-19	FY19-20
Total	97,451,899.65	91,555,410.38	103,806,790.53	113,251,556.14	120,225,322.55	142,627,333.40	157,638,265.20	193,357,159.41	189,963,923.47	187,554,858.10
NV General Fund	51,601,076.70	48,424,653.77	55,071,139.29	60,182,938.16	64,151,427.06	75,914,147.34	84,060,202.67	103,182,781.89	101,132,362.06	100,252,495.85
Consolidated Tax	22,051,742.18	20,694,296.48	23,534,674.91	25,719,204.34	27,415,274.30	32,442,103.52	35,923,345.05	44,095,425.65	43,219,154.68	42,843,159.00
Clark School Dist.	18,667,626.00	17,687,895.65	19,730,331.00	21,326,284.80	22,157,311.52	26,571,730.11	29,109,193.05	35,605,308.80	35,440,540.48	34,290,873.25
Low Income Housing	4,009,407.67	3,762,599.36	4,279,031.80	4,676,218.97	4,984,953.38	5,898,990.75	6,531,996.48	8,017,932.22	7,858,564.59	7,790,227.44
Local Gov't Tax Act	600,824.10	496,827.20	635,339.40	739,001.40	869,100.70	1,034,431.71	1,165,425.68	1,414,662.85	1,292,861.64	1,366,612.15
Collection Allowance	521,223.00	489,137.92	556,274.13	607,908.47	647,255.59	765,929.97	848,102.27	1,041,048.00	1,020,440.02	1,011,490.41


²³ Amounts in table are calculated from Recorders Monthly Report; minor adjustments for refunds and timing differences are excluded. Each fiscal year's Tax Totals and Total Distribution are now consistent in amounts (without variance) due to revision in the rounding of approximate Effective Tax Rates up to six (6) digits.

²⁴ Distributions varied from prior years' Annual Report due to correction to the amounts distributed to each fund.

²⁵ Total Amount and distributions varied from prior years' Annual Report due to corrections made in Clark, Washoe, Douglas, and Nye Counties.

²⁶ Amounts of total tax and affected funds varied from last year's Annual Report due to inclusion of Esmeralda County's RPTT collections for April-June 2018.

The following chart shows the distribution of the tax over the last ten fiscal years.




Real Property Transfer Tax 2nd Quarter FY20-21 Report

The following table shows the total number of transfers and the exempt transfers over the last ten years sorted by the FY19-20 total transfers.

	FY10-11		FY11-12		FY12-13		FY13-14		FY14-15		FY15-16		FY16-17		FY17-18 ²⁷		FY18-19		FY19-20	
	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans
Total	234,218	77,262	225,585	75,773	224,646	83,352	214,375	73,122	209,178	72,993	208,612	74,463	236,102	76,721	240,299	76,569	225,218	75,840	210,823	78,122
Clark	188,531	56,145	178,268	54,857	176,144	60,095	165,692	52,051	159,886	51,293	158,614	52,257	184,635	54,363	187,734	54,313	175,000	52,430	160,813	55,094
Washoe	23,231	10,207	23,412	10,125	24,001	11,619	23,701	10,329	22,964	10,588	24,352	11,138	24,966	11,172	25,244	11,140	22,831	10,321	23,636	11,629
Nye	3,245	1,969	3,233	1,964	3,554	2,049	4,051	2,053	5,390	2,253	4,982	2,190	5,073	2,287	5,745	2,448	5,592	2,235	5,162	2,345
Douglas	5,512	2,120	6,497	2,106	6,268	2,325	6,524	1,921	6,268	2,068	5,801	1,934	5,665	1,815	5,093	1,702	5,327	1,948	4,976	1,923
Lyon	3,583	1,639	3,558	1,470	3,591	1,628	3,417	1,458	3,773	1,543	3,602	1,587	3,959	1,700	4,451	1,864	4,275	1,710	3,974	1,639
Elko	2,589	1,154	3,156	1,213	3,249	1,283	3,067	1,318	2,998	1,174	3,127	1,253	3,332	1,268	3,539	1,287	3,374	1,182	3,563	1,322
Carson City	2,094	1,241	2,230	1,214	2,317	1,344	2,134	1,092	2,203	1,142	2,329	1,167	2,617	1,309	2,497	1,136	2,389	1,165	2,443	1,222
Humboldt	1,296	655	1,033	729	683	652	1,162	552	1,131	570	1,085	537	1,224	597	1,342	651	1,313	599	1,386	687
Churchill	1,161	681	1,142	613	1,359	831	1,308	752	1,263	685	1,338	686	1,349	621	1,313	587	1,389	611	1,376	634
Pershing	866	275	712	289	876	269	716	283	777	320	662	315	689	267	715	250	1,030	351	849	316
White Pine	509	294	521	301	558	320	595	355	556	328	664	382	592	290	596	281	640	302	641	322
Lincoln	287	180	282	143	396	188	325	191	394	246	436	217	355	193	329	139	377	185	429	206
Storey	353	177	361	172	397	202	395	176	435	184	428	222	423	205	532	250	489	258	385	219
Lander	294	128	396	158	442	162	450	195	414	189	409	172	386	188	336	145	330	149	381	173
Esmeralda	209	127	255	171	231	127	232	146	219	113	230	142	264	152	332	172	260	151	226	136
Mineral	297	197	342	175	328	170	319	161	301	166	342	157	353	195	274	117	321	149	244	132
Eureka	161	73	187	73	252	88	287	89	206	71	211	107	220	99	227	87	281	94	339	123

²⁷ Total counts of Real Property Transfers and Exempt deeds varied from the FY18-19 Annual Report due to inclusion of Esmeralda County's data for April-June 2018.

The following chart shows the number of exempt and non-exempt transfers over the last ten years.



Reconciliation

Pursuant to NAC 375.400, County Recorders must provide the Department the following information each month:

- Total number of deeds subject to RPTT during the prior month;
- Total taxes collected during the prior month;
- Total number of exemptions granted by type of exemption;
- Such other information that may be useful in coordinating the collection and administration of the taxes (under this category, a line item on the report form for the total collection allowance that may be retained by the County, based on the General Fund taxes collected during the prior month and the school district portion for Clark County).

Pursuant to NRS 375.023(3), County Treasurers must remit a portion of the amounts collected to the State Controller for distribution to various accounts in the State books and records. Counties retain a portion of the amount collected. See “Distribution of Tax” above for a complete explanation of the distribution.

The Department prepares a quarterly reconciliation of the tax. The Department prepares a workpaper with the expected distribution of the funds per the Recorder’s Report. The expected collection allowance is reconciled to the collection allowance reported by the Recorder. The expected distributions to the State, including CTX, General Fund, Low Income Housing, and LGTA amounts, are compared with the State Controller’s records and the County Treasurer remittance reports. Any discrepancies are investigated and brought to the attention of the County Recorder, County Treasurer, or State Controller, as needed. The expected Clark County School District portion is reconciled to the school district amount reported by the Recorder.

During the Department’s reconciliation process minor differences between the Recorder monthly reports and the State reports are investigated, documented and resolved. Most differences are “timing differences” between periods that do not impact total tax. Occasionally, there are permanent differences such as refunds to taxpayers pursuant to NRS 375.150, NRS 375.290, NRS 375.310 or calculation errors that may impact total tax. During the 1st Quarter of FY19-20, permanent differences amounted to less than 0.05% of the total reported amount and are not considered material for purposes of this report, except for Esmeralda County.

Esmeralda County had distribution discrepancies in FY17-18 and FY18-19 that remain uncorrected as of the 2nd Quarter of FY20-21.

For the 1st Quarter FY19-20, the expected RPTT revenue transfer from Esmeralda County to the State in October 2019, for portions of the amounts collected in the 1st Quarter FY19-20 was \$1,658.09 per County Recorder’s monthly RPTT reports for July, August, and September 2019. The actual RPTT revenue transfer by the County Treasurer in October 2019 was \$929.78, which was \$728.31 short of expected. In addition, the RPTT revenue received was improperly distributed to the General Fund, Consolidated Tax, and Low Income Housing. These distribution discrepancies remain uncorrected as of the 2nd Quarter FY20-21.

For the 2nd Quarter FY19-20, the expected RPTT revenue transfer from Esmeralda County to the State in January 2020, for portions of the amounts collected in the 2nd Quarter FY19-20 was \$1,508.93 per County Recorder’s monthly RPTT reports for October, November, and December 2019. The actual RPTT revenue transfer by the County Treasurer in January 2020 was \$2,992.68, which was \$1,483.75 more than expected. In addition, the RPTT revenue received was improperly distributed to the General Fund, Consolidated Tax, and Low Income Housing. These distribution discrepancies remain uncorrected as of the 2nd Quarter FY20-21.

For the 3rd Quarter FY19-20, the expected RPTT revenue transfer from Esmeralda County to the State in April 2020, for portions of the amounts collected in the 3rd Quarter FY19-20 was \$2,713.75 per County Recorder's monthly RPTT reports for January, February, and March 2020. The actual RPTT revenue transfer by the County Treasurer in April 2020 was \$1,971.25, which was \$742.50 short of expected. In addition, the RPTT revenue received was improperly distributed to the General Fund, Consolidated Tax, and Low Income Housing. These distribution discrepancies remain uncorrected as of the 2nd Quarter FY20-21.

For the 4th Quarter FY19-20, the expected RPTT revenue transfer from Esmeralda County to the State in July 2020, for portions of the amounts collected in the 4th Quarter FY19-20 was \$1,594.16 per County Recorder's monthly RPTT reports for April, May, and June 2020. The actual RPTT revenue transfer by the County Treasurer in July 2020 was \$1,863.40, which was \$269.24 more than expected. In addition, the RPTT revenue received was improperly distributed to the General Fund, Consolidated Tax, and Low Income Housing. These distribution discrepancies remain uncorrected as of the 2nd Quarter FY20-21.

For the 1st Quarter FY20-21, the expected RPTT revenue transfer from Esmeralda County to the State in October 2020, for portions of the amounts collected in the 1st Quarter FY20-21 was \$4,071.58 per County Recorder's monthly RPTT reports for July, August, and September 2020. The actual RPTT revenue transfer by the County Treasurer in October 2020 was \$4,187.50, which was \$115.92 more than expected. In addition, the RPTT revenue received was improperly distributed to the General Fund, Consolidated Tax, and Low Income Housing. These distribution discrepancies remain uncorrected as of the 2nd Quarter FY20-21.

For the 2nd Quarter FY20-21, the expected RPTT revenue transfer from Esmeralda County to the State in January 2021, for portions of the amounts collected in the 2nd Quarter FY20-21 was \$3,225.11 per County Recorder's monthly RPTT reports for October, November, and December 2020. The actual RPTT revenue transfer by the County Treasurer in January 2021 was \$2,591.72, which was \$633.39 short of expected. In addition, the RPTT revenue received was improperly distributed to the General Fund, Consolidated Tax, and Low Income Housing. These distribution discrepancies remain uncorrected as of the 2nd Quarter FY20-21 report date.

For the 3rd Quarter FY19-20, the expected RPTT revenue transfer from Lander County to the State in April 2020, for portions of the amounts collected in the 3rd Quarter FY19-20 was \$71,171.14 per County Recorder's monthly RPTT reports for January, February, and March 2020. The actual RPTT revenue transfer by the County Treasurer in April 2020 was \$125,331.59, which was \$54,160.45 more than expected. In addition, the RPTT revenue received was improperly distributed to the General Fund, Consolidated Tax, and Low Income Housing. These distribution discrepancies have been partially corrected as of the 2nd Quarter FY20-21.

For the 4th Quarter FY19-20, the expected RPTT revenue transfer from Lander County to the State in July 2020, for portions of the amounts collected in the 4th Quarter FY19-20 was \$23,232.38 per County Recorder's monthly RPTT reports for April, May, and June 2020. The actual RPTT revenue transfers by the County Treasurer in May and June 2020 totaled \$12,958.53, which was \$10,273.85 short of expected. In addition, the RPTT revenue received was improperly distributed to the General Fund, Consolidated Tax, and Low Income Housing. These distribution discrepancies have been partially corrected as of the 2nd Quarter FY20-21.

For the 3rd Quarter FY19-20, the expected RPTT revenue transfer from Mineral County to the State in May 2020, for portions of the amounts collected in the 3rd Quarter FY19-20 was \$5,506.89 per County Recorder's monthly RPTT reports for January, February, and March 2020. The actual RPTT revenue transfer by the County Treasurer in May 2020 was \$5,699.29, which was \$192.40 more than expected. In addition, the RPTT revenue

received was improperly distributed to Consolidated Tax. This distribution discrepancy remains uncorrected as of the 2nd Quarter FY20-21.

For the 4th Quarter FY19-20, the expected RPTT revenue transfer from Mineral County to the State in July 2020, for portions of the amounts collected in the 4th Quarter FY19-20 was \$7,848.70 per County Recorder's monthly RPTT reports for April, May, and June 2020. The actual RPTT revenue transfer by the County Treasurer in May and June 2020 totaled \$7,875.70, which was \$27 more than expected. In addition, the RPTT revenue received was improperly distributed to Consolidated Tax. This distribution discrepancy remains uncorrected as of the 2nd Quarter FY20-21.

For the 4th Quarter FY19-20, the expected RPTT revenue transfer from Carson City to the State in July 2020, for portions of the amounts collected in the 4th Quarter FY19-20 was \$343,228.74 per County Recorder's monthly RPTT reports for April, May, and June 2020. The actual RPTT revenue transfer by the County Treasurer in May and June 2020 totaled \$405,079.00, which was \$61,850.26 more than expected. In addition, the RPTT revenue received was improperly distributed to the General Fund, Consolidated Tax, and Low Income Housing. These distribution discrepancies remain uncorrected as of the 2nd Quarter FY20-21.

For the 2nd Quarter FY20-21, the expected RPTT revenue transfer from Carson City to the State in January 2021, for portions of the amounts collected in the 2nd Quarter FY20-21 was \$816,422.30 per County Recorder's monthly RPTT reports for October, November, and December 2020. The actual RPTT revenue transfer by the County Treasurer in January 2021 \$799,101.60, which was \$17,320.70 short of expected. In addition, the RPTT revenue received was improperly distributed to the General Fund, Consolidated Tax, and Low Income Housing. These distribution discrepancies remain uncorrected as of the 2nd Quarter FY20-21.

ADMINISTRATION OF THE REAL PROPERTY TRANSFER TAX

Authority and Oversight

The 20th Special Session of the Nevada State Legislature in 2003 instituted the passage of Senate Bill 8, which authorized an additional tax rate for the State General fund and gave the Department of Taxation administrative authority and oversight. The Department is required by NRS 375.019 to coordinate the collection and administration of the tax. The Nevada Tax Commission adopted regulations governing the Department's authority and oversight as well as the reporting of the Real Property Transfer Tax (RPTT) on March 1, 2004. Refer to NAC 375.400, 410, and 420.

Calculation and Collection of the Tax

The RPTT was originally imposed in 1968. The tax rates were established in NRS 375.020. NRS 375.020 has been amended from time to time. The current rate is \$0.65 per \$500 of value, or fraction thereof, for Counties whose population is less than 700,000. The base rate in all Counties of \$0.65 is segregated between County revenue²⁸ (consolidated tax \$0.55) and State revenue²⁹ (low-income housing \$0.10). For any County whose population is 700,000 or more, the rate is \$1.25 per \$500 of value or fraction thereof. The only County eligible for the higher rate at the current time is Clark County. The additional \$0.60 per \$500 of value, or fraction thereof, imposed in Clark County is dedicated to the Clark County School District fund for capital projects.

The Local Government Tax Act (LGTA) of 1991 provides an optional tax levy, if County commissioners approve an ordinance to impose a Real Property Transfer Tax, of up to \$0.10 for each \$500 of value or fraction thereof. Currently, only Churchill County and Washoe County impose an additional \$0.10 levy.

NRS 375.026, passed by the Legislature in 2003, provides for the imposition of an additional levy of \$0.05 per \$500 of value or fraction thereof. The taxes so levied are for use in the Plant Industry Program as required by NRS 561.355. To date, no counties have levied any taxes for this program.

Finally, NRS 375.023 provides for the imposition of \$1.30 per \$500 of value or fraction thereof for the State General Fund.

²⁸ The County Revenue is based on \$0.55 per \$500 of value, or fraction thereof, and is part of the Consolidated Tax.

²⁹ The State Revenue is based on \$0.10 per \$500 of value, or fraction thereof, and is part of the Low Income Housing program created pursuant to NRS 319.

The following table shows each component of RPTT and when it was implemented.

Entity	Component Type	Effective Date	Rate (per \$500)	Total Rate
Churchill County	County (now Consolidated Tax)	1/1/1968	\$0.55	
	Low Income Housing	7/1/1991	\$0.10	
	LGTA	7/1/1991	\$0.10	
	State General Fund	10/1/2003	<u>\$1.30</u>	\$2.05
Clark County	County (now Consolidated Tax)	1/1/1968	\$0.55	
	School District	8/1/1977	\$0.60	
	Low Income Housing	7/1/1991	\$0.10	
	State General Fund	10/1/2003	<u>\$1.30</u>	\$2.55
Washoe County	County (now Consolidated Tax)	1/1/1968	\$0.55	
	Low Income Housing	7/1/1991	\$0.10	
	LGTA	7/1/1991	\$0.10	
	State General Fund	10/1/2003	<u>\$1.30</u>	\$2.05
All other counties	County (now Consolidated Tax)	1/1/1968	\$0.55	
	Low Income Housing	7/1/1991	\$0.10	
	State General Fund	10/1/2003	<u>\$1.30</u>	\$1.95

Collection Allowance

Pursuant to NRS 375.023(4), counties are entitled to deduct and withhold a collection allowance for the cost of collecting the tax. Per the 2005 Legislative Session, all Counties may deduct 1% of the State's portion of the taxes collected.

The collection allowance is retained by the County and deducted from the amount remitted to the State.

Distribution of the Tax

All components of the Real Property Transfer Tax, net of the collection allowance, are transmitted to the State Controller, with two exceptions. The first exception is the Plant Industry Program. If and when any taxes are levied for the Plant Industry Program, they must be transmitted directly to the State Treasurer. The second exception is the school district component levied in Clark County, which is transmitted directly to the Clark County Treasurer for ultimate distribution to the School District.

NRS 375.070 requires the tax imposed by NRS 375.020 (the \$0.65 per \$500 of value of fraction thereof) to be transmitted to the State Controller at the end of each quarter. Some counties transmit on a monthly basis and in turn receive back a monthly distribution of the consolidated tax (CTX) component (the \$0.55 per \$500 or fraction thereof). The remaining Low-Income Housing component (\$0.10 per \$500 value or fraction thereof) is distributed to the State housing program.

The State Controller distributes the tax represented by the CTX component to the Local Government Tax Distribution Account, where it is combined with the proceeds of other taxes and becomes part of the Consolidated Tax distribution (CTX) administered by the Department of Taxation pursuant to NRS 360.660. The remaining \$0.10 of the \$0.65 is distributed by the State Controller to the account for Low-Income Housing, created pursuant to NRS 319.500.

The Real Property Transfer Taxes collected pursuant to the Local Government Tax Act (LGTA) are also transmitted to the State Controller and are distributed to the Intergovernmental Trust Fund which accrues interest. The real property transfer taxes are distributed back to the participating counties, along with the proceeds of other types of taxes collected pursuant to LGTA, by the Department of Taxation.

The taxes collected by counties on behalf of the State are distributed by the State Controller to the State General Fund. The taxes collected on behalf of the State, however, are required to be transmitted within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter *during which the tax was collected* (NRS 375.023(3)). For instance, taxes collected by the County Recorder in January must be remitted to the State Controller no later than April 30. Taxes collected in January may be remitted monthly as other components of the RPTT are transmitted but cannot be remitted later than 30 days after the last day of the calendar quarter.

In all counties the County Treasurer or County Controller is currently responsible for transmitting the taxes to the State Controller, and the transmittal report must reflect the time period when the taxes were actually collected by the Recorder, not when the Treasurer or Controller received the monies from the Recorder. If the State conducts an audit, the period to be audited would be when the monies were first received from the taxpayer (“the collection period”). The collection period must balance to the transmittal reports.