



NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION
Division of Excise and Local Government Services

REAL PROPERTY TRANSFER TAX

2nd QUARTER FY 2025-2026 REPORT

October 1, 2025 to December 31, 2025

Including fiscal year-to-date results (July 1, 2025 to December 31, 2025)

Department of Taxation
Division of Excise and Local Government Services
3850 Arrowhead Dr., 2nd Floor.
Carson City, NV 89706
Phone 775.684.2200 • Fax 775.684.2020

Published May 27, 2026

Table of Contents

RESULTS	1
Background	1
Tax Totals	1
Distribution of Tax.....	3
Exemptions	7
Historical Trends	14
Reconciliation.....	20
ADMINISTRATION OF THE REAL PROPERTY TRANSFER TAX	22
Authority and Oversight	22
Calculation and Collection of the Tax	22
Collection Allowance.....	23
Distribution of the Tax	24

RESULTS

Background

The Real Property Transfer Tax (RPTT) is collected in each county when deeds¹ are presented for recording, with some exceptions². Tax rates vary in each county³ and range from \$1.95 to \$2.55 per \$500 of value⁴ or fraction thereof. The amounts collected are then distributed to various funds at the county and state levels. The statutes related to RPTT are contained in Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 375. The regulations for the tax are contained in Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 375. For a more complete description of the tax, please refer to the next section, Administration of the Real Property Transfer Tax.

Tax Totals

The following table shows total tax⁵ by county for the 2nd Quarter (October 1, 2025 to December 31, 2025) of Fiscal Year 2025-2026 (FY25-26) and Fiscal Year to Date 2025-2026 (FYTD 25-26) (July 1, 2025 to June 30, 2026). Approximately 90.60% (\$50,624,383) of the total tax (\$55,875,557 Million) for the 2nd Quarter of FY25-26 relates to transactions in Clark County and Washoe County. Please refer to pages 20 and 21 of this report for details on discrepancies in Esmeralda County, Mineral County, Lyon County, Churchill, and Clark County.

County	2nd Quarter Amount	2nd Quarter %	FYTD Amount	FYTD %
Total	\$ 55,875,557	100.00%	\$ 112,247,935	100.00%
CLARK	41,454,126.00	74.19%	84,892,378.95	75.63%
WASHOE	9,170,257.25	16.41%	17,330,499.55	15.44%
DOUGLAS	1,803,537.45	3.23%	3,327,448.80	2.96%
LYON	931,470.15	1.67%	1,720,011.15	1.53%
CARSON CITY	628,954.95	1.13%	1,270,465.95	1.13%
STOREY	470,630.55	0.84%	596,569.35	0.53%
NYE	453,765.00	0.81%	1,010,952.15	0.90%
ELKO	373,140.30	0.67%	887,543.45	0.79%
CHURCHILL	182,755.45	0.33%	451,213.20	0.40%
HUMBOLDT	178,292.40	0.32%	344,492.85	0.31%
MINERAL	54,215.85	0.10%	66,378.00	0.06%
WHITE PINE	53,125.80	0.10%	107,992.95	0.10%
LANDER	36,847.20	0.07%	69,718.35	0.06%
PERSHING	29,909.10	0.05%	63,999.00	0.06%
LINCOLN	26,024.70	0.05%	64,445.55	0.06%
ESMERALDA	17,754.75	0.03%	29,021.43	0.03%
EUREKA	10,750.35	0.02%	14,804.40	0.01%

¹ Per NRS 375.010(1)(b), a Deed essentially means any instrument that transfers an estate or present interest in real property, regardless of the name of the document, with certain exceptions.


² Non-taxable events (Exceptions) are listed in NRS 375.010(1)(b); Exemptions are listed in NRS 375.090.

³ See Table in the next section "Calculation and Collection of the Tax" for the rates and distribution in each county.

⁴ NRS 375.020 through 375.026 impose tax "for each \$500 of value or fraction thereof...if the consideration or value of the interest or property conveyed exceeds \$100".

⁵ Amounts in table are from Recorders' Monthly Reports rounded to the nearest whole dollar; minor adjustments for refunds or timing differences are excluded.

The total tax for FYTD 25-26 is depicted graphically below:



Transactions in Washoe County and Clark County account for approximately 91.07% of the total Real Property Transfer Tax FYTD FY25-26. Transactions in the other 15 counties account for the remaining 8.93%. The total Real Property Transfer Tax Fiscal Year to Date is \$112,247,935.

Distribution of Tax

The following tables show the distribution of the tax for the 2nd Quarter FY25-26 (October 1, 2025 to December 31, 2025) and for the FYTD 25-26 (July 1, 2025 to December 31, 2025). The “Level of Government” heading indicates where the tax is ultimately used, including allocations to the General Fund⁶, Collection Allowance⁷, Consolidated Tax⁸, School District⁹, Low-Income Housing¹⁰, and Local Govt Tax Act¹¹. Please refer to pages 20 and 21 of this report for details on discrepancies in Esmeralda County, Mineral County, Lyon County, Churchill, and Clark County.

2nd Quarter FY25-26 (October 1, 2025 to December 31, 2025)

<i>Level of Government</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>County</i>
	General Fund	Collection Allowance	Consolidated Tax	School District	Low Income Housing	Local Govt Tax Act
TOTAL	\$ 30,114,621	\$ 254,371	\$ 12,864,134	\$ 9,754,156	\$ 2,339,271	\$ 455,897
CLARK	\$ 20,904,182.51	\$ 161,333.13	\$ 8,934,048.54	\$ 9,754,155.85	\$ 1,623,619.39	\$ -
WASHOE	\$ 5,752,653.29	\$ 58,115.96	\$ 2,458,398.80	\$ -	\$ 446,981.60	\$ 446,981.60
DOUGLAS	\$ 1,190,334.73	\$ 12,023.57	\$ 508,690.05	\$ -	\$ 92,489.10	\$ -
LYON	\$ 614,770.27	\$ 6,209.83	\$ 262,722.35	\$ -	\$ 47,767.70	\$ -
CARSON CITY	\$ 415,110.27	\$ 4,192.92	\$ 177,397.55	\$ -	\$ 32,254.10	\$ -
STOREY	\$ 310,616.18	\$ 3,137.52	\$ 132,741.95	\$ -	\$ 24,134.90	\$ -
NYE	\$ 299,188.74	\$ 3,022.26	\$ 127,858.50	\$ -	\$ 23,247.00	\$ -
ELKO	\$ 246,272.76	\$ 2,487.74	\$ 105,244.70	\$ -	\$ 19,135.40	\$ -
HUMBOLDT	\$ 117,673.00	\$ 1,188.60	\$ 50,287.60	\$ -	\$ 9,143.20	\$ -
CHURCHILL	\$ 114,734.78	\$ 1,158.92	\$ 43,031.95	\$ -	\$ 8,914.90	\$ 8,914.90
MINERAL	\$ 35,290.82	\$ 337.40	\$ 15,081.55	\$ -	\$ 2,742.10	\$ -
WHITE PINE	\$ 35,063.07	\$ 354.16	\$ 14,984.26	\$ -	\$ 2,724.41	\$ -
LANDER	\$ 24,319.15	\$ 245.65	\$ 10,392.80	\$ -	\$ 1,889.60	\$ -
PERSHING	\$ 19,740.01	\$ 199.39	\$ 8,435.90	\$ -	\$ 1,533.80	\$ -
LINCOLN	\$ 17,176.32	\$ 173.48	\$ 7,340.30	\$ -	\$ 1,334.60	\$ -
ESMERALDA	\$ 10,400.25	\$ 118.35	\$ 4,444.55	\$ -	\$ 808.10	\$ -
EUREKA	\$ 7,095.24	\$ 71.66	\$ 3,032.15	\$ -	\$ 551.30	\$ -

⁶ Per NRS 375.023, the tax rate includes \$1.30 per \$500 of value or fraction thereof for the State General Fund. The amounts in the table are rounded to the next whole dollar and presented net of the Collection Allowance per NRS 375.023(4).

⁷ Per NRS 375.023(4), counties are entitled to 1% of the state's \$1.30 portion of the taxes collected to defray the county's administrative costs associated with the tax.

⁸ Per NRS 375.020, the tax rate includes \$0.65 per \$500 of value or fraction thereof. Of this amount, \$0.55 (NRS 375.070(1)(c)) is distributed to the Local Government Tax Distribution Account, where it is combined with the proceeds of other taxes and becomes part of the Consolidated Tax or CTX (See NRS 360.660). The CTX is ultimately distributed back to counties. The remaining \$0.10 (NRS 375.070(1)(a)) is distributed to the state administered Low-Income Housing Program created per NRS 319. In Clark County, an additional \$0.60 is added which is distributed to the Clark County School District per NRS 375.070(1)(b).

⁹ Ultimately distributed to the county School District. See previous note.

¹⁰ Ultimately distributed to the state account for Low-Income Housing, created pursuant to NRS 319.500. See Note 8.


¹¹ The Local Government Tax Act (LGTA) was passed in 1991 and can be found in the Special Acts section at the following link. <https://www.leg.state.nv.us/SpecialActs/59-LocalGovernmentTax1991.html>. See Section 32. Also see the updated LGTA of 1993. This act allows certain counties, by local ordinance, to levy an additional tax of \$0.10 per \$500 of value or fraction thereof. Currently, only Churchill County and Washoe County levy the tax. The tax is ultimately distributed back to the county through the Tax Distribution Fund.

FYTD 25-26 (July 1, 2025 to December 31, 2025)

<i>Level of Government</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>County</i>
	General Fund	Collection Allowance	Consolidated Tax	School District	Low Income Housing	Local Govt Tax Act
TOTAL	\$ 60,732,644	\$ 559,137	\$ 25,948,535	\$ 19,975,177	\$ 4,717,458	\$ 866,358
CLARK	\$ 42,824,761.59	\$ 382,775.35	\$ 18,302,485.58	\$ 19,975,176.76	\$ 3,326,181.89	\$ -
WASHOE	\$ 11,314,597.93	\$ 109,773.13	\$ 4,834,374.05	\$ -	\$ 878,977.10	\$ 844,347.30
DOUGLAS	\$ 2,196,116.24	\$ 22,182.96	\$ 938,511.20	\$ -	\$ 170,638.40	\$ -
LYON	\$ 1,135,207.33	\$ 11,466.77	\$ 485,131.35	\$ -	\$ 88,205.70	\$ -
CARSON CITY	\$ 838,507.53	\$ 8,469.58	\$ 358,368.55	\$ -	\$ 65,152.10	\$ -
NYE	\$ 665,932.11	\$ 6,726.89	\$ 284,586.50	\$ -	\$ 51,743.00	\$ -
ELKO	\$ 585,779.52	\$ 5,917.08	\$ 250,333.05	\$ -	\$ 45,515.10	\$ -
STOREY	\$ 393,735.80	\$ 3,977.10	\$ 168,263.15	\$ -	\$ 30,593.30	\$ -
CHURCHILL	\$ 283,273.86	\$ 2,861.34	\$ 115,057.20	\$ -	\$ 22,010.40	\$ 22,010.40
HUMBOLDT	\$ 227,365.32	\$ 2,296.58	\$ 97,164.65	\$ -	\$ 17,666.30	\$ -
WHITE PINE	\$ 71,275.44	\$ 719.93	\$ 30,459.65	\$ -	\$ 5,538.16	\$ -
LANDER	\$ 46,014.09	\$ 464.81	\$ 19,664.15	\$ -	\$ 3,575.30	\$ -
MINERAL	\$ 43,317.81	\$ 418.51	\$ 18,511.90	\$ -	\$ 3,365.80	\$ -
LINCOLN	\$ 42,534.09	\$ 429.61	\$ 18,176.95	\$ -	\$ 3,304.90	\$ -
PERSHING	\$ 42,239.34	\$ 426.65	\$ 18,051.00	\$ -	\$ 3,282.00	\$ -
ESMERALDA	\$ 12,214.93	\$ 132.05	\$ 5,220.05	\$ -	\$ 949.10	\$ -
EUREKA	\$ 9,770.92	\$ 98.68	\$ 4,175.60	\$ -	\$ 759.20	\$ -


The distribution of the tax for FY25-26 is depicted graphically as follows:

FYTD 25-26 Tax Distribution (amounts in thousands)



The proceeds allocated to the State General Fund (net of collection allowance) and to Low-Income Housing are ultimately used at the state level. The remaining proceeds are ultimately used at the local government level.

The total tax collected by the County Recorder is posted to various accounts in the county books and records. A portion of the amount collected is retained by the county. The retained amount consists of the collection allowance and School District amount (currently only in Clark County). The remaining portions (Consolidated Tax (CTX), State General fund (net of collection allowance), Low Income Housing, and Local Government Tax Act) are remitted by the County Treasurer to the State Controller for further distribution. CTX is ultimately combined with other taxes and distributed back to the counties based on statutory allocation formulas. Similarly, the LGTA is combined with other LGTA taxes and distributed back to the counties. The following flowchart shows the flow of the tax through the various systems. The blue indicates proceeds ultimately used at the state level. The green indicates proceeds ultimately used at the local government level.



See the distribution tables and graph on the three prior pages for amounts distributed per the above flowchart for FY25-26.

Exemptions

The total number of deeds filed with the County Recorders for the 2nd Quarter of FY25-26 (October 1, 2025 to December 31, 2025) and for FYTD 25-26 (July 1, 2025 to December 31, 2025) are shown in the following tables, together with the number of each type of exemption. The exemption numbers correspond with the subparagraphs of NRS 375.090 as follows:

NRS 375.090 Exemptions. The taxes imposed by [NRS 375.020](#), [375.023](#) and [375.026](#) do not apply to:

1. A mere change in identity, form, or place of organization, such as a transfer between a business entity and its parent, its subsidiary or an affiliated business entity if the affiliated business entity has identical common ownership.
2. A transfer of title to the United States, any territory or state or any agency, department, instrumentality, or political subdivision thereof.
3. A transfer of title recognizing the true status of ownership of the real property, including, without limitation, a transfer by an instrument in writing pursuant to the terms of a land sale installment contract previously recorded and upon which the taxes imposed by this chapter have been paid.
4. A transfer of title without consideration from one joint tenant or tenant in common to one or more remaining joint tenants or tenants in common.
5. A transfer, assignment, or other conveyance of real property if the owner of the property is related to the person to whom it is conveyed within the first degree of lineal consanguinity or affinity.
6. A transfer of title between former spouses in compliance with a decree of divorce.
7. A transfer of title to or from a trust without consideration if a certificate of trust is presented at the time of transfer.
8. Transfers, assignments or conveyances of unpatented mines or mining claims.
9. A transfer, assignment, or other conveyance of real property to a corporation or other business organization if the person conveying the property owns 100 percent of the corporation or organization to which the conveyance is made.
10. A conveyance of real property by deed which becomes effective upon the death of the grantor pursuant to [NRS 111.655](#) to [111.699](#), inclusive.
11. The making, delivery or filing of conveyances of real property to make effective any plan of reorganization or adjustment:
 - (a) Confirmed under the Bankruptcy Act, as amended, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq.;
 - (b) Approved in an equity receivership proceeding involving a railroad, as defined in the Bankruptcy Act; or
 - (c) Approved in an equity receivership proceeding involving a corporation, as defined in the Bankruptcy Act, if the making, delivery or filing of instruments of transfer or conveyance occurs within 5 years after the date of the confirmation, approval, or change.
12. A transfer to an educational foundation. As used in this subsection, “educational foundation” has the meaning ascribed to it in subsection 3 of [NRS 388.750](#).
13. A transfer to a university foundation. As used in this subsection, “university foundation” has the meaning ascribed to it in subsection 3 of [NRS 396.405](#).
14. A transfer to a library foundation. As used in this subsection, “library foundation” has the meaning ascribed to it in [NRS 379.0056](#).
(Added to NRS by [1967, 1761](#); A [1969, 569](#); [1971, 246](#); [1985, 862, 2046](#); [1991, 1123, 2053](#); [1993, 2308, 2624](#); [1995, 716, 1037](#); [2001, 1593](#); [2003, 3486](#); [2003, 20th Special Session, 172, 174](#); [2005, 962, 2057, 2488](#); [2007, 3393](#); [2009, 1112](#); [2011, 1354](#); [2017, 525](#))

Number of Exemptions for the 2nd Quarter of FY25-26, together with calculation of the ratio of the number of transfers (exempt and non-exempt) in each county to the number of transfers statewide, the ratio of the number of exempt transfers to the total (exempt and non-exempt) transfers in each county, and the percentage of transfers qualified for exemption in each county are shown in the following table. The table is sorted by Total Transfers in descending order. Due to rounding, slight variations in percentages may occur.

County	% of Total Transfers	Total Transfers	Exempt Transfers	% of Total Exemptions	% of Transfers Exempted
Total	100.00%	44,106	17,135	100.00%	38.85%
CLARK	74.43%	32,830	11,754	68.60%	35.80%
WASHOE	11.22%	4,947	2,511	14.65%	50.76%
NYE	3.01%	1,326	633	3.69%	47.74%
DOUGLAS	2.35%	1,038	407	2.38%	39.21%
LYON	1.94%	855	410	2.39%	47.95%
ELKO	1.72%	759	323	1.89%	42.56%
CARSON CITY	1.20%	531	283	1.65%	53.30%
PERSHING	0.93%	412	128	0.75%	31.07%
CHURCHILL	0.69%	305	179	1.04%	58.69%
HUMBOLDT	0.67%	295	131	0.76%	44.41%
LINCOLN	0.32%	143	51	0.30%	35.66%
WHITE PINE	0.32%	139	73	0.43%	52.52%
STOREY	0.31%	138	67	0.39%	48.55%
LANDER	0.28%	124	71	0.41%	57.26%
ESMERALDA	0.27%	121	51	0.30%	42.15%
MINERAL	0.19%	86	45	0.26%	52.33%
EUREKA	0.13%	57	18	0.11%	31.58%


For the 2nd Quarter of FY25-26, per the tables under the heading “Tax Totals,” transactions in Clark County and Washoe County account for 90.60% of the tax dollars. The above table indicates that transactions in Clark County and Washoe County account for 85.65% of the total number of transfers. Transactions in the other 15 counties account for 14.35% of the total number of transfers.

Additional information about the number of transfers qualified for each exemption (e.g. 1= exemption per [NRS 375.090\(1\)](#)) is also shown in the table for 2nd Quarter of FY25-26. The table is sorted by total exempt transfers in descending order.

County		EXEMPTION													
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Total	17,135	160	159	2,293	525	3,603	306	8,598	136	660	692	1	2	0	0
CLARK	11,754	102	74	1491	364	2676	215	5921	20	484	405	0	2	0	0
WASHOE	2,511	22	40	230	75	365	47	1497	1	107	127	0	0	0	0
NYE	633	6	13	129	18	172	7	246	7	10	25	0	0	0	0
LYON	410	0	7	88	17	67	7	180	0	17	27	0	0	0	0
DOUGLAS	407	4	1	57	7	56	9	249	1	8	15	0	0	0	0
ELKO	323	6	3	61	6	68	5	141	11	10	11	1	0	0	0
CARSON CITY	283	11	1	71	9	37	3	134	0	5	12	0	0	0	0
CHURCHILL	179	0	3	31	6	35	3	60	8	3	30	0	0	0	0
HUMBOLDT	131	1	1	19	10	34	2	37	13	6	8	0	0	0	0
PERSHING	128	1	1	55	1	19	1	20	23	0	7	0	0	0	0
WHITE PINE	73	2	4	15	2	20	4	9	7	2	8	0	0	0	0
LANDER	71	1	4	7	1	7	1	29	17	2	2	0	0	0	0
STOREY	67	2	3	15	3	11	1	26	1	2	3	0	0	0	0
ESMERALDA	51	1	0	8	0	7	0	12	20	1	2	0	0	0	0
LINCOLN	51	0	2	6	3	12	1	21	1	0	5	0	0	0	0
MINERAL	45	1	2	8	3	13	0	8	5	1	4	0	0	0	0
EUREKA	18	0	0	2	0	4	0	8	1	2	1	0	0	0	0

The following chart shows the number of exemptions by category for the 2nd Quarter of FY25-26.

Number of Exempt Transfers by Transfer Type 2nd Quarter FY25-26



Number of Exemptions for the FYTD 25-26, together with calculation of the ratio of the number of transfers (exempt and non-exempt) in each county to the number of transfers statewide, the ratio of the number of exempt transfers to the total (exempt and non-exempt) transfers in each county, and the percentage of transfers qualified for exemption in each county are shown in the following table. The table is sorted by Total Transfers in descending order. Due to rounding, slight variations in percentages may occur.

County	% of Total Transfers	Total Transfers	Exempt Transfers	% of Total Exemptions	% of Transfers Exempted
Total	100.00%	90,713	35,131	100.00%	38.73%
CLARK	74.60%	67,675	24,373	69.38%	36.01%
WASHOE	10.83%	9,821	4,830	13.75%	49.18%
NYE	3.02%	2,742	1,292	3.68%	47.12%
DOUGLAS	2.52%	2,284	826	2.35%	36.16%
LYON	2.04%	1,855	850	2.42%	45.82%
ELKO	1.79%	1,620	664	1.89%	40.99%
CARSON CITY	1.28%	1,163	654	1.86%	56.23%
PERSHING	0.79%	713	256	0.73%	35.90%
CHURCHILL	0.75%	679	397	1.13%	58.47%
HUMBOLDT	0.65%	594	253	0.72%	42.59%
WHITE PINE	0.33%	297	150	0.43%	50.51%
LINCOLN	0.32%	288	108	0.31%	37.50%
STOREY	0.27%	247	125	0.36%	50.61%
ESMERALDA	0.25%	226	94	0.27%	41.59%
LANDER	0.25%	226	124	0.35%	54.87%
MINERAL	0.18%	167	87	0.25%	52.10%
EUREKA	0.13%	116	48	0.14%	41.38%


For FYTD 25-26, per the tables under the heading “Tax Totals,” transactions in Clark County and Washoe County account for 91.07% of the tax dollars. The above table indicates that transactions in Clark County and Washoe County account for 85.43% of the total number of transfers. Transactions in the other 15 counties account for 14.57% of the total number of transfers.

Additional information about the number of transfers qualified for each exemption (e.g. 1= exemption per [NRS 375.090\(1\)](#)) is also shown in the table for FYTD 25-26. The table is sorted by total exempt transfers in descending order.

County		EXEMPTION													
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Total	35,131	375	294	4,746	981	7,390	618	17,732	231	1,274	1,484	2	4	0	0
CLARK	24,373	271	150	3,122	685	5,510	406	12,410	26	939	850	0	4	0	0
WASHOE	4,830	34	68	443	126	717	105	2,899	1	186	251	0	0	0	0
NYE	1,292	11	15	271	44	342	14	476	16	22	80	1	0	0	0
LYON	850	2	10	158	32	153	19	378	5	32	61	0	0	0	0
DOUGLAS	826	15	5	125	13	117	19	481	2	24	25	0	0	0	0
ELKO	664	11	7	120	16	135	24	274	19	18	39	1	0	0	0
CARSON CITY	654	13	2	153	15	87	4	332	0	11	37	0	0	0	0
CHURCHILL	397	0	11	75	11	77	3	130	11	8	71	0	0	0	0
PERSHING	256	3	1	99	5	35	4	57	34	1	17	0	0	0	0
HUMBOLDT	253	1	2	53	15	60	5	70	26	8	13	0	0	0	0
WHITE PINE	150	3	4	33	5	48	6	21	11	8	11	0	0	0	0
STOREY	125	6	7	29	4	17	5	48	1	4	4	0	0	0	0
LANDER	124	1	7	13	2	15	1	53	23	2	7	0	0	0	0
LINCOLN	108	1	3	18	4	28	2	37	4	5	6	0	0	0	0
ESMERALDA	94	2	0	10	0	14	0	27	38	1	2	0	0	0	0
MINERAL	87	1	2	19	3	21	0	19	10	3	9	0	0	0	0
EUREKA	48	0	0	5	1	14	1	20	4	2	1	0	0	0	0

The following chart shows the number of exemptions by category for the FYTD 25-26.

Number of Exempt Transfers by Transfer Type FYTD 25-26



Historical Trends

The following table shows the tax for the last ten fiscal years¹² sorted by FY25-26 total. Please refer to the footnote for details on FY17-18¹³ and FY 19-20¹⁴.


	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Total	157,638,265	193,357,159	189,963,923	187,617,124	248,612,821	334,591,153	207,464,222	203,510,262	233,029,617	112,247,935
Clark	123,714,132	151,322,638	150,622,372	145,736,284	185,035,923	267,409,759	162,867,842	157,313,759	183,722,364	84,892,379
Washoe	23,367,835	28,364,200	25,785,706	27,285,908	42,671,882	44,500,103	28,949,887	26,359,640	30,503,227	17,330,500
Douglas	2,955,354	3,631,228	3,726,035	3,790,903	7,619,409	5,742,284	3,776,557	5,019,495	5,556,991	3,327,449
Lyon	1,730,024	2,530,900	2,721,012	2,320,851	3,663,768	4,103,284	2,548,408	2,720,086	3,261,870	1,720,011
Carson City	1,919,884	2,112,373	1,845,819	2,099,333	3,081,959	3,457,627	2,180,309	2,346,665	2,453,531	1,270,466
Nye	1,220,425	1,425,041	1,667,724	1,576,696	2,065,619	2,994,560	1,967,593	2,235,332	2,221,918	1,010,952
Elko	1,156,171	1,344,747	1,454,471	1,904,937	1,791,406	2,657,628	1,635,461	1,815,651	1,669,022	887,543
Storey	345,655	1,096,290	453,107	470,215	484,425	958,173	1,350,155	3,743,982	1,403,945	596,569
Churchill	513,839	624,793	707,361	718,439	828,460	1,104,722	1,061,160	752,635	782,362	451,213
Humboldt	300,247	322,663	417,862	721,917	628,692	707,088	525,472	515,867	596,365	344,493
White Pine	113,416	123,593	200,111	148,321	166,471	300,169	165,543	213,006	232,898	107,993
Lander	72,288	97,209	89,174	189,372	150,392	183,680	97,814	104,904	131,143	69,718
Mineral	26,902	41,449	37,001	28,468	51,855	77,263	40,626	66,224	64,981	66,378
Lincoln	78,564	75,584	75,943	78,983	128,450	130,295	95,798	84,993	129,055	64,446
Pershing	71,805	140,985	100,866	230,385	176,519	129,698	137,801	142,159	126,865	63,999
Esmeralda	13,866	27,495	27,185	7,525	36,547	38,514	16,013	25,284	139,685	29,021
Eureka	37,857	75,972	32,175	308,586	31,044	96,305	47,783	50,581	33,394	14,804

¹² Amounts in table are calculated from Records’ Monthly Reports; minor adjustments for refunds and timing differences are excluded.

¹³ Total Amount varies from last year’s Annual Report due to inclusion of Esmeralda County’s RPTT collections for April-June 2018.

¹⁴ Total Amount varies from last year’s Annual Report due to inclusion of Carson City’s amended RPTT collections for April 2020.

Historical Trends depicted graphically as follows.



The following table shows the distribution of the tax over the last ten fiscal years¹⁵ sorted by FY25-26 total. Please refer to the footnotes for details on FY17-18¹⁶ and FY19-20¹⁷.


	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Total	157,638,265	193,357,159	189,963,923	187,617,124	248,612,821	334,591,153	207,464,222	203,510,262	233,029,617	112,799,308
NV General Fund	\$ 84,060,202.67	\$ 103,182,781.89	\$ 101,132,362.06	\$ 100,293,591.04	\$ 133,948,782.40	\$ 177,834,554.35	\$ 110,667,631.86	\$ 109,013,875.05	\$ 124,261,170.41	\$ 60,732,643.85
Consolidated Tax	\$ 35,923,345.05	\$ 44,095,425.65	\$ 43,219,154.68	\$ 42,860,721.03	\$ 57,243,412.62	\$ 75,998,008.63	\$ 47,294,084.91	\$ 46,587,324.52	\$ 53,103,293.66	\$ 25,948,534.58
Clark School Dist.	\$ 29,109,193.05	\$ 35,605,308.80	\$ 35,440,540.48	\$ 34,290,873.25	\$ 43,537,842.43	\$ 62,919,911.74	\$ 38,321,826.04	\$ 37,014,983.49	\$ 43,228,769.99	\$ 19,975,176.76
Low Income Housing	\$ 6,531,996.48	\$ 8,017,932.22	\$ 7,858,564.59	\$ 7,793,420.53	\$ 10,408,751.41	\$ 13,818,746.17	\$ 8,599,529.41	\$ 8,470,972.79	\$ 9,655,779.94	\$ 4,717,457.75
Local Gov't Tax Act	\$ 1,165,425.68	\$ 1,414,662.85	\$ 1,292,861.64	\$ 1,366,612.15	\$ 2,122,816.69	\$ 2,225,515.48	\$ 1,464,539.07	\$ 1,323,079.02	\$ 1,526,736.75	\$ 866,357.70
Collection Allowance	\$ 848,102.27	\$ 1,041,048.00	\$ 1,020,440.02	\$ 1,011,905.54	\$ 1,351,215.69	\$ 1,794,416.33	\$ 1,116,610.27	\$ 1,100,027.43	\$ 1,253,866.26	\$ 559,137.02

¹⁵ Amounts in table are calculated from Recorders’ Monthly Reports; minor adjustments for refunds and timing differences are excluded. Each fiscal year’s Tax Totals and Total Distribution are now consistent in amounts (without variance) due to revision in the rounding of approximate Effective Tax Rates up to six (6) digits.

¹⁶ Total Amount varies from last year’s Annual Report due to inclusion of Esmeralda County’s RPTT collections for April-June 2018.

¹⁷ Total Amount varies from last year’s Annual Report due to inclusion of Carson City’s amended RPTT collections for April 2020.

The following chart shows the distribution of the tax over the last ten fiscal years.



The following table shows the total number of transfers and the exempt transfers over the last ten years sorted by the FY25-26 total transfers. Please refer to the footnotes for details on FY17-18¹⁸ and FY19-20¹⁹.


	2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023		2024		2025		2026	
	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans	Total Trans	Exempt Trans
Total	236,102	76,721	240,299	76,569	225,218	73,840	210,910	78,158	231,896	95,088	247,613	93,112	194,838	66,322	194,222	65,545	185,786	69,708	90,713	35,131
Clark	184,635	54,363	187,734	54,313	175,000	52,430	160,813	55,094	169,388	67,072	188,389	66,058	151,822	46,412	150,871	45,434	141,478	48,931	67,675	24,373
Washoe	24,966	11,172	25,244	11,140	22,831	10,321	23,636	11,629	29,369	14,437	26,992	13,165	18,445	9,110	17,803	8,905	18,323	9,100	9,821	4,830
Nye	5,073	2,287	5,745	2,448	5,592	2,235	5,162	2,345	7,685	2,750	7,262	3,019	6,220	2,448	6,417	2,636	6,368	2,862	2,742	1,292
Douglas	5,665	1,815	5,093	1,702	5,327	1,948	4,976	1,923	5,495	2,320	5,520	2,209	3,745	1,524	4,327	1,506	4,370	1,516	2,284	826
Lyon	3,959	1,700	4,451	1,864	4,275	1,710	3,974	1,639	5,159	2,151	5,055	2,242	3,475	1,634	3,390	1,518	3,506	1,567	1,855	850
Elko	3,332	1,268	3,539	1,287	3,374	1,182	3,563	1,322	4,352	1,434	4,142	1,512	3,456	1,317	3,362	1,327	3,324	1,290	1,620	664
Carson City	2,617	1,309	2,497	1,136	2,389	1,165	2,530	1,258	2,969	1,562	2,869	1,427	1,883	961	2,018	1,075	2,213	1,179	1,163	654
Pershing	689	267	715	250	1,030	351	849	316	1,035	394	840	346	659	311	689	345	642	337	713	256
Churchill	1,349	621	1,313	587	1,389	611	1,376	634	1,582	765	1,620	822	1,236	618	1,250	686	1,251	719	679	397
Humboldt	1,224	597	1,342	651	1,313	599	1,386	687	1,650	689	1,613	790	1,284	663	1,330	679	1,315	655	594	253
White Pine	592	290	596	281	640	302	641	322	715	312	810	402	642	350	650	344	707	386	297	150
Lincoln	355	193	329	139	377	185	429	206	515	235	484	226	370	196	386	227	371	173	288	108
Storey	423	205	532	250	489	258	385	219	561	297	572	258	408	207	447	222	601	368	247	125
Esmeralda	264	152	332	172	260	151	226	136	279	170	280	154	291	169	303	198	224	112	226	94
Lander	386	188	336	145	330	149	381	173	460	209	416	180	363	150	349	173	473	200	226	124
Mineral	353	195	274	117	321	149	244	132	345	144	493	212	317	179	366	158	363	204	167	87
Eureka	220	99	227	87	281	94	339	123	337	147	256	90	222	73	264	112	257	109	116	48

¹⁸ Totals of Real Property Transfers and Exempt deeds varies from last year’s Annual Report due to inclusion of Esmeralda County’s data for April-June 2018.

¹⁹ Totals of Real Property Transfers and Exempt deeds varies from last year’s Annual Report due to inclusion of Carson City’s amended RPTT collections for April 2020.

The following chart shows the number of exempt and non-exempt transfers over the last ten years.

10-Year History of RPTT Exempt and Non-Exempt Transfers
Amount = Number of Transfers



Reconciliation

Pursuant to NAC 375.400, County Recorders must provide the Department with the following information each month:

- Total number of deeds subject to RPTT during the prior month;
- Total taxes collected during the prior month;
- Total number of exemptions granted by type of exemption;
- Such other information that may be useful in coordinating the collection and administration of the taxes (under this category, a line item on the report form for the total collection allowance that may be retained by the county, based on the General Fund taxes collected during the prior month and the school district portion for Clark County).

Pursuant to NRS 375.023(3), County Treasurers must remit a portion of the amounts collected to the State Controller for distribution to various accounts in the state books and records. Counties retain a portion of the amount collected. See “Distribution of Tax” above for a complete explanation of the distribution.

The Department prepares a quarterly reconciliation of the tax. The Department prepares a workpaper with the expected distribution of the funds, per the Recorder’s Report. The expected collection allowance is reconciled to the collection allowance reported by the Recorder. The expected distributions to the state, including CTX, General Fund, Low Income Housing, and LGTA amounts, are compared with the State Controller’s records and the County Treasurer remittance reports. Any discrepancies are investigated and brought to the attention of the County Recorder, County Treasurer, or State Controller, as needed. The expected Clark County School District portion is reconciled to the school district amount reported by the Recorder.

During the Department’s reconciliation the differences between the Recorders’ monthly reports and the State reports are investigated, documented, and resolved. Most differences are “timing differences” between periods that do not impact total tax. Occasionally, there are permanent differences such as refunds to taxpayers pursuant to NRS 375.150, NRS 375.290, NRS 375.310 or calculation errors which may impact total tax. Differences amounting to 0.05% or less of the total reported amount are not considered material for the purposes of this report, except as noted below.

As of the date of this report the following distribution discrepancies remain unresolved:

1. Esmeralda County’s to-date expected RPTT revenue transfer exceeds the remittance to the State by \$12,314.90 and is a result of multiple quarter variances as shown in the table below. The 3rd quarter, FY24-25, remitted amount now reflects the additional payment of \$40,282.11 made by the County to the State in April 2026. Additionally, 1st quarter, FY 25-26, expected RPTT revenue transfer is now restated due to the County’s late report filing for August and September 2025.

FY	Quarter	Expected RPTT Revenue Transfer	Remitted Amount	Cumulative Variance
23-24	Q1	\$7,356.74	\$18,139.73	\$10,782.99
23-24	Q2	\$2,091.97	\$2,091.97	\$10,782.99

23-24	Q3	\$10,787.17	\$0.00	(\$4.18)
23-24	Q4	\$4,879.32	\$4,875.44	(\$8.06)
24-25	Q1	\$25,951.94	25951.94	(\$8.06)
24-25	Q2	4,995.53	\$3,380.48	(\$1,623.11)
24-25	Q3	\$103,689.56	\$103,689.81	(\$1,622.86)
24-25	Q4	\$4,116.93	\$3,930.19	(\$1,809.60)
25-26	Q1	\$11,252.98	\$2,731.18	(\$10,331.40)
25-26	Q2	\$17,636.40	\$15,652.90	(\$12,314.90)

2. Mineral County's to date remittance to the State fell short of the expected RPTT revenue transfer by \$766.37 and is a result of multiple quarter variances as shown in the table below.

FY	Quarter	Expected RPTT Revenue Transfer	Remitted Amount	Cumulative Variance
23-24	Q3	\$14,783.17	\$15,176.99	\$393.82
23-24	Q4	\$17,677.06	\$17,576.86	\$293.62
24-25	Q3	\$15,784.60	\$15,488.60	(\$2.38)
25-26	Q2	\$53,878.45	\$53,114.47	(\$766.36)

3. Lyon County's 2nd and 3rd quarters, FY24-25, remittance exceeded the expected RPTT revenue transfer by \$32,297.54 and \$9,969.46, respectively. Although the County has informed the Department that those were due to the timing difference and should reconcile by 4th quarter, FY24-25, the over-remittance of \$42,267.00 remains.
4. Churchill County's 2nd quarter, FY25-26, remittance fell short of the expected RPTT revenue transfer by \$6,000. The County stated the discrepancy paid in the 4th quarter of FY25-26.
5. Clark County's 2nd quarter, FY25-26, remittance fell short of the expected RPTT revenue transfer by \$61,364.18. The County stated that the discrepancy is being researched

ADMINISTRATION OF THE REAL PROPERTY TRANSFER TAX

Authority and Oversight

The 20th Special Session of the Nevada State Legislature in 2003 instituted the passage of Senate Bill 8, which authorized an additional tax rate for the State General fund and gave the Department of Taxation administrative authority and oversight. The Department is required by NRS 375.019 to coordinate the collection and administration of the tax. The Nevada Tax Commission adopted regulations governing the Department's authority and oversight as well as the reporting of the Real Property Transfer Tax (RPTT) on March 1, 2004. Refer to NAC 375.400, 410, and 420.

Calculation and Collection of the Tax

The RPTT was originally imposed in 1968. The tax rates were established in NRS 375.020. NRS 375.020 has been amended from time to time. The current rate is \$0.65 per \$500 of value, or fraction thereof, for counties whose population is less than 700,000. The base rate in all counties of \$0.65 is segregated between county revenue²⁰ (consolidated tax \$0.55) and state revenue²¹ (low-income housing \$0.10). For any county whose population is 700,000 or more, the rate is \$1.25 per \$500 of value or fraction thereof. The only county eligible for the higher rate at the current time is Clark County. The additional \$0.60 per \$500 of value, or fraction thereof, imposed in Clark County is dedicated to the Clark County School District fund for capital projects.

The Local Government Tax Act (LGTA) of 1991 provides an optional tax levy, if county commissioners approve an ordinance to impose a Real Property Transfer Tax, of up to \$0.10 for each \$500 of value or fraction thereof. Currently, only Churchill County and Washoe County impose an additional \$0.10 levy.

NRS 375.026, passed by the Legislature in 2003, provides for the imposition of an additional levy of \$0.05 per \$500 of value or fraction thereof. The taxes so levied are for use in the Plant Industry Program as required by NRS 561.355. To date, no counties have levied any taxes for this program.

Finally, NRS 375.023 provides for the imposition of \$1.30 per \$500 of value or fraction thereof for the State General Fund.

²⁰ The county revenue is based on \$0.55 per \$500 of value, or fraction thereof, and is part of the Consolidated Tax.

²¹ The state revenue is based on \$0.10 per \$500 of value, or fraction thereof, and is part of the Low-Income Housing program created pursuant to NRS 319.

The following table shows each component of RPTT and when it was implemented.

Entity	Component Type	Effective Date	Rate (per \$500)	Total Rate
Churchill County	Consolidated Tax	1/1/1968	\$0.55	\$2.05
	Low Income Housing	7/1/1991	\$0.10	
	LGTA	7/1/1991	\$0.10	
	State General Fund	10/1/2003	<u>\$1.30</u>	
Clark County	Consolidated Tax	1/1/1968	\$0.55	\$2.55
	School District	8/1/1977	\$0.60	
	Low Income Housing	7/1/1991	\$0.10	
	State General Fund	10/1/2003	<u>\$1.30</u>	
Washoe County	Consolidated Tax	1/1/1968	\$0.55	\$2.05
	Low Income Housing	7/1/1991	\$0.10	
	LGTA	7/1/1991	\$0.10	
	State General Fund	10/1/2003	<u>\$1.30</u>	
All other counties	Consolidated Tax	1/1/1968	\$0.55	\$1.95
	Low Income Housing	7/1/1991	\$0.10	
	State General Fund	10/1/2003	<u>\$1.30</u>	

Collection Allowance

Pursuant to NRS 375.023(4), counties are entitled to deduct and withhold a collection allowance for the cost of collecting the tax. Per the 2005 Legislative Session, all counties may deduct 1% of the state's portion of the taxes collected.

The collection allowance is retained by the county and deducted from the amount remitted to the state.

Distribution of the Tax

All components of the Real Property Transfer Tax, net of the collection allowance, are transmitted to the State Controller, with two exceptions. The first exception is the Plant Industry Program. If and when any taxes are levied for the Plant Industry Program, they must be transmitted directly to the State Treasurer. The second exception is the school district component levied in Clark County, which is transmitted directly to the Clark County Treasurer for ultimate distribution to the School District.

NRS 375.070 requires the tax imposed by NRS 375.020 (the \$0.65 per \$500 of value or fraction thereof) to be transmitted to the State Controller at the end of each quarter. Some counties transmit on a monthly basis and in turn receive back a monthly distribution of the consolidated tax (CTX) component (the \$0.55 per \$500 or fraction thereof). The remaining Low-Income Housing component (\$0.10 per \$500 value or fraction thereof) is distributed to the state housing program.

The State Controller distributes the tax represented by the CTX component to the Local Government Tax Distribution Account, where it is combined with the proceeds of other taxes and becomes part of the Consolidated Tax distribution (CTX) administered by the Department of Taxation pursuant to NRS 360.660. The remaining \$0.10 of the \$0.65 is distributed by the State Controller to the account for Low-Income Housing, created pursuant to NRS 319.500.

The Real Property Transfer Taxes collected pursuant to the Local Government Tax Act (LGTA) are also transmitted to the State Controller and are distributed to the Intergovernmental Trust Fund which accrues interest. The real property transfer taxes are distributed back to the participating counties, along with the proceeds of other types of taxes collected pursuant to LGTA, by the Department of Taxation.

The taxes collected by counties on behalf of the state are distributed by the State Controller to the State General Fund. The taxes collected on behalf of the state, however, are required to be transmitted within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter *during which the tax was collected* NRS 375.023(3). For instance, taxes collected by the County Recorder in January must be remitted to the State Controller no later than April 30. Taxes collected in January may be remitted monthly as other components of the RPTT are transmitted but cannot be remitted later than 30 days after the last day of the calendar quarter.

In all counties the County Treasurer or County Controller is currently responsible for transmitting the taxes to the State Controller, and the transmittal report must reflect the period when the taxes were actually collected by the Recorder, not when the Treasurer or Controller received the monies from the Recorder. If the state conducts an audit, the period to be audited would be when the monies were first received from the taxpayer ("the collection period"). The collection period must balance to the transmittal reports.